Comparison of my cut and Ma Tian's cut

I. INTRODUCTION

This note describes determination of $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ reconstruction efficiencies in data and Monte Carlo. The measured efficiency of K_S^0 factors out the following parts:

- The track-finding efficiency for two pions.
- Secondary vertex fit and decay length fit, in addition to K_S^0 mass window requirements: $|M_{\pi^+\pi^-} - M_{K_S^0}| < 12 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2 \;(\text{``3}\sigma\text{''} \text{ cut, where } \sigma \approx 4 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2).$
- The flight significance cut: $L/\sigma_L > 2$.

• K_s⁰ reconstruction

- ✓ Track selection
 |Vr|< 10cm, |Vz|<30cm, |cosθ| < 0.93
- ✓ PID: Prob π > Prob K and Prob π > Prob P N_{π+}>=1 and N_{π-}>=1
- ✓ Second vertex fit: $L/\sigma_L > 2.0$
- ✓ Remove the cosmic rays using time of flight: $|T(\pi^+) - T(\pi^-)| < 5 \text{ (ns)}$
- ✓ Remove the beam-associated background: χ^2 (second fit) < 120

Using the K_S^0 reconstruction uncertainty from Ma Tian, and assuming the PID is 1% for each π

Radius of beam pipe: 6.3cm



图1 漂移室总体结构图

Decay length of K_{S}^{0}



Memo version 5.0

BESIII Analysis Memo

BAM-00281

August 27, 2017

First measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow pK_S^0 \overline{n}K^- + c.c.$ above 4.0 GeV

7.4 $K_{\rm S}^0$ Reconstruction

The K_S reconstruction is studied using two samples $J/\psi \to K^*(892)^{\pm}K^{\mp}, K^*(892)^{\pm} \to K_S \pi^{\pm}$ and $J/\psi \to \phi K_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$, and the systematic uncertainty is estimated as 1.2% [29]. Taking into consideration additional PID for two opposite-charged pions from the K_S^0 candidate in our analysis, which is different from the Ref [29], the systematic uncertainty for the K_S^0 reconstruction is conservatively taken as 2.3%, where the PID efficiency difference for each pion between MC and data is 1.0% [26].

K_S⁰ uncertainty from Ma Tian



FIG. 13: Fits to combined momentumdependence for the systematics of $K^0 \to K_S^0$ dependence for the systematics of $\bar{K}^0 \to K_S^0$ reconstruction efficiency.

$$\epsilon_{\rm sys}^{K^0 \to K^0_S} = (1.22 \pm 0.53)\%, \\ \epsilon_{\rm sys}^{\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_S} = (0.80 \pm 0.53)\%.$$
(5)

We averaged two results and gained the data-Monte Carlo discrepancy of K_S^0 reconstruction as $(1.01 \pm 0.53)\%$.

Fig. 14. The corresponding K_S^0 systematics, $\epsilon_{sys}^{K_S^0}$, obtained in this fashion and given by

