Dynamic Composite Higgs: Theory and Status

Haiying Cai

IPNL, Université Lyon 1

Haiying Cai (CHEP 2015)

- ∢ ∃ ▶

Part II :

Anarchic Yukawas and Top partial compositeness

Cacciapaglia, Cai, Flacke, Lee, Parolini and Serodio

arXiv:1501.03818

ヨト イヨト

Working month at University of Science and Technology of China

July 6 - July 8

In MCHM, the Higgs doublet, being identified with the pNGBs in the coset space of SO(5)/SO(4), appears through a matrix U, in the unitary gauge to be:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos h/f & \sin h/f \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin h/f & \cos h/f \end{pmatrix}$$

The pion matrix U transforms non-linearly under $\mathbf{g} \in SO(5)$: $U \to \mathbf{g}U\mathbf{h}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{g}, h)$, where $\mathbf{h} \in SO(4)$. By CCWZ, Cartan-Maurer forms are decomposed in the broken $T^{\hat{a}}$ and unbroken T^{a} directions:

$$i U^\dagger D_\mu U = d_\mu^{\hat{a}} T^{\hat{a}} + E_\mu^a T^a$$

where d_{μ} and E_{μ} are the building blocks for an effective Lagrangian of pNGBs and vector resnances.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

We embed the left-handed quarks $q_L = (t_L, b_L)^T$ and right-handed one t_R in a fundamental **5** of SO(5):

$$\overline{q}_{3L}^5 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-i\overline{b}_L, \ \overline{b}_L, \ -i\overline{t}_L, \ -\overline{t}_L, \ 0
ight) \ , \quad \overline{t}_R^5 = \left(0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ \overline{t}_R
ight) \ ,$$

The composite sector contains many spin-1/2 fermionic resonances. The minimal set is a four-plet Q and a singlet \tilde{T} of SO(4), in a **5** of SO(5):

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ \tilde{T} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} i \ B - i \ X_{5/3} \\ B + X_{5/3} \\ i \ T + i \ X_{2/3} \\ -T + X_{2/3} \\ \sqrt{2} \ \tilde{T} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

The general Lagrangian we can write is then:

$$\mathcal{L}_{comp} = i\overline{Q}_{L,R} \left(\not D + \not E \right) Q_{L,R} + i\overline{\tilde{T}}_{L,R} \not D \tilde{T}_{L,R} - M_4 \left(\overline{Q}_L Q_R + \overline{Q}_R Q_L \right) - M_1 \left(\overline{\tilde{T}}_L \tilde{T}_R + \overline{\tilde{T}}_R \tilde{T}_L \right) + ic_L \overline{Q}_L^i \gamma^\mu d_\mu^i \tilde{T}_L + ic_R \overline{Q}_R^i \gamma^\mu d_\mu^i \tilde{T}_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_{pc} &= y_{L4,1} f \overline{q}_{3L}^5 U \psi_R + y_{R4,1} f \overline{t}_R^5 U \psi_L + \text{h.c.} \\ &= y_{L4} f \left(\overline{b}_L B_R + c_{\theta/2}^2 \overline{t}_L T_R + s_{\theta/2}^2 \overline{t}_L X_{2/3R} \right) - \frac{y_{L1} f}{\sqrt{2}} s_{\theta} \overline{t}_L \tilde{T}_R \\ &+ y_{R4} f \left(\frac{s_{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{t}_R T_L - \frac{s_{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{t}_R X_{2/3L} \right) + y_{R1} f c_{\theta} \overline{t}_R \tilde{T}_L + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

with the defination of $s_{\theta} = \sin \theta = \sin \frac{h + \langle h \rangle}{f}$. The piece of \mathcal{L}_{pc} is a linear mixing between an elementary fermion and one composite fermion, connected by the U matrice, in order to generate a mass for the top via partial compositeness.

Haiying Cai (CHEP 2015)

イロン 不聞と 不良とう アン

Direct Yukawa Interaction

In addition we assume the presence of direct Yukawa interactions of all fermions, generated at a scale $\Lambda_{UV} > \Lambda_{HC}$. e.g. through four-fermion interactions in technicolor theory:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Y} &= \sqrt{2} \; (\bar{q}_{\alpha L}^{5} \Sigma) m_{\mathrm{UV}\alpha\beta}^{u} (\Sigma^{\mathsf{T}} u_{\beta R}^{5}) + \sqrt{2} \; (\bar{\tilde{q}}_{\alpha L}^{5} \Sigma) m_{\mathrm{UV}\alpha\beta}^{d} (\Sigma^{\mathsf{T}} d_{\beta R}^{5}) \\ &= \frac{s_{2\theta}}{2} \; \left[\bar{u}_{\alpha L} m_{\mathrm{UV}\alpha\beta}^{u} u_{\beta R} + \bar{d}_{\alpha L} m_{\mathrm{UV}\alpha\beta}^{d} d_{\beta R} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Where the fields q_L and u_R are a generalization to include three families, and \tilde{q}_L and d_R are defined by

$$ilde{q}^5_{lpha L} = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} q^5_{lpha L}\,, \qquad d^5_{lpha R} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ d_{lpha R} \end{pmatrix} \,,$$

with $\Sigma = U \cdot (00001)^t$, transforming linearly as a **5** under *SO*(5).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Due to our model set-up, several energy scales will be present here:

- Flavor scale (Λ_{UV}): additional Yukawa operators are generated.
- Condensation scale (Λ_{HC}) : at this scale, the strong dynamics breaks SO(5) into SO(4), and we have $\Lambda_{HC} = 4\pi f$.
- Compositeness scale (f): the strong sector is described by heavy reonances, e.g. spin-1/2 top partners and spin-1 vector resoannces, some of which have a mass of order f.
- EW scale (v): the mass scale of the W and Z gauge bosons.

In order to sufficiently suppress FCNC, we will require $\Lambda_{UV} \gtrsim 10^5$ TeV, and to be consistent with naturalness, the compositeness scale f should be around 1 TeV.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

The fermionic field content can be split into up and down sectors as

$$\xi_{\uparrow} = \begin{pmatrix} u & c & t & T & X_{2/3} & \tilde{T} \end{pmatrix}^{T}, \quad \xi_{\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} d & s & b & B \end{pmatrix}^{T}$$

Their Yukawa-mass Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_{\text{yukawa-mass}} &= \bar{\xi}_{\uparrow L} \left[M_{\text{up}} + Y_{\text{up}} h + \cdots \right] \xi_{\uparrow R} \\ &+ \quad \bar{\xi}_{\downarrow L} \left[M_{\text{down}} + Y_{\text{down}} h + \cdots \right] \xi_{\downarrow R} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the up-type Yukawa matrice $Y_{\rm up}$ comes from both the differentiation of $M_{\rm up}$ and from the $d_{\mu}^4 \propto \partial_{\mu} h$ term in the \mathcal{L}_{comp} .

We will apply an expansion of $\sin 2\epsilon$, $\epsilon = \langle h \rangle / f$, for a block diagonalization, so that in this new basis the heavy eigenstates are diagonal and can be safely integrated out.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

After the block diagonalization, we get for the up-quark sector, up to $\mathcal{O}(s_{2\epsilon}^3)$,

$$U_{uL}^{\dagger}M_{\mathrm{up}}U_{uR}\simeq egin{pmatrix} m_U & 0 \ 0 & D_M \end{pmatrix} \,,$$

with

$$m_U \simeq \frac{s_{2\epsilon}}{2} \ m_{\rm UV}^u + m_t \Pi \,, \quad \Pi = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight), \quad D_M \simeq {\rm diag} \left(M_T, M_4, M_{\tilde{T}}
ight),$$

where m_t is the contribution to the top mass from partial compositeness (so that $s_{2\epsilon} m_{\rm UV} \sim m_c \ll m_t$). The masses are defined as

$$m_t = s_{2\epsilon} rac{f^2 |y_{L1}y_{R1}M_4 - y_{L4}y_{R4}M_1|}{2\sqrt{2}M_T M_{\tilde{T}}} \,, \ M_T = \sqrt{M_4^2 + f^2 y_{L4}^2} \,, \ M_{\tilde{T}} = \sqrt{M_1^2 + f^2 y_{R1}^2} \,.$$

For later use, we define $s_{\phi L} = \frac{y_{L4}f}{M_T}$, and $s_{\phi R} = \frac{y_{R1}f}{M_{\tilde{T}}}$, indicating the degrees of partial compositeness.

Haiying Cai (CHEP 2015)

The Yukawa interaction is transformed into a non block-diagonal form. For the light quark sector:

$$y_u \simeq rac{m_U}{fs_{2\epsilon}/2} \left(1 - rac{1}{2}s_{2\epsilon}^2
ight) + B_u\,, \quad ext{where} \quad B_u \sim rac{\Sigma_u}{M_*^2}\,.$$

We also define

$$\Sigma_{u} \sim \left(\begin{array}{ccc} m_c^2 & m_c^2 & m_c m_t \\ m_c^2 & m_c^2 & m_c m_t \\ m_c m_t & m_c m_t & m_t^2 \end{array} \right) \,. \label{eq:sigma_update}$$

which is only capturing the order of the corrections and should not be considered as true equalities because order one coefficients are neglected.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Similar results can be obtained for the down sector:

$$U_{dL}^{\dagger} M_{\rm down} U_{dR} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} m_D & \\ & M_T \end{pmatrix} \,, \quad m_D \simeq \frac{s_{2\epsilon}}{2} \ m_{\rm UV}^d \,.$$

Note that no partial compositeness for bottom quark. The Yukawa coupling is decomposed as:

$$y_d \simeq rac{m_D}{fs_{2\epsilon}/2} \left(1-rac{s_{2\epsilon}^2}{2}
ight) + B_d \, ,$$

where in analogy with up-quark sector we have

$$B_d \sim rac{m_b \Sigma_d}{\epsilon M_*^3} \,, \quad ext{where} \quad \Sigma_d \sim \epsilon^2 ig(m_{ ext{UV}}^dig)^2 .$$

3

EW gauge currents

The interaction Lagrangian of the EW gauge currents is:

Through block diagonalization, the deviations are expressed in terms of squared inverse of M_* (generic top partner mass), Σ_u and Σ_d :

• deviations in the neutral currents

$$\begin{split} \delta A_{NC}^{tL} \big|_{3\times 3} &\simeq \quad \frac{g}{c_W} \frac{\Sigma_u}{M_*^2} \,, \quad \delta A_{NC}^{tR} \big|_{3\times 3} &\simeq -\frac{g}{c_W} \frac{\Sigma_u}{M_*^2} \,, \\ \delta A_{NC}^{bL} \big|_{3\times 3} &= \quad 0 \,, \quad \left(\left. \delta A_{NC}^{bR} \right|_{3\times 3} \right)_{ij} &\simeq -\frac{g}{2c_W} \frac{\Sigma_d}{M_*^2} \,; \end{split}$$

• deviations in the charged currents

$$\left(\delta A_{CC}^{L}\right)\simeq -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\Sigma_{u}}{M_{*}^{2}}\,,\quad \left(\delta A_{CC}^{R}\right)\simeq -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}M_{*}^{2}}\,m_{b}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}m_{c}&m_{c}&m_{c}\\m_{c}&m_{c}&m_{c}\\m_{t}&m_{t}&m_{t}\end{array}\right)$$

3 1 4 3 1

In order to go to the "true" mass eigenbasis we need to perform unitary transformations acting only on the light quark generations:

$$m_U = V_{uL} M_U V_{uR}^{\dagger}, \quad m_D = V_{dL} M_D V_{dR}^{\dagger}$$

where $M_U = \text{diag}(m_u, m_c, m_t)$ and $M_D = \text{diag}(m_d, m_s, m_b)$ are the masses of the six quarks. Since $m_t \gg m_c$ in automatically settled in our model, the light quarks can be diagonalized through $V_{uL,R}$ of the form

$$V_{uL,R} \sim \left(egin{array}{ccc} O(1) & O(1) & O(rac{m_c}{m_t}) \ O(1) & O(1) & O(rac{m_c}{m_t}) \ O(rac{m_c}{m_t}) & O(rac{m_c}{m_t}) & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

Only the mixing between the first or second generation with the third generation is suppressed by a factor of m_c/m_t .

However, in the down sector there is no a priori hierarchy in the mass matrice.

We confront our model with constraints from flavour conserving/violating processes, which have three distinct origins:

- (1) induced solely by the mixing effects due to top partial compositeness and direct Yukawa couplings;
- (2) induced by heavy resonances, appearing at the compositeness scale;
- (3) the four fermions interactions induced at the UV scale is of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = rac{1}{\Lambda_{UV}^2} (ar{q}q)^2 + h.c.$$

For $\Lambda_{UV} \sim 10^5$ TeV, it should not introduce any flavour problem.

The deviation of $\bar{t}_L \not/\!\!\!/ b_L$ needs to be compatible with $|V_{tb}| = 1.021 \pm 0.032$:

$$\left|\delta A_{CC}^L
ight|^{1/2} \sim \left|rac{m_t}{M_*}rac{(1-s_{\phi R}^2)}{\sqrt{2}s_{\phi R}}
ight| \lesssim 10^{-1}\,.$$

This implies that $s_{\phi R} < 1/2$ is disfavoured, unless $M_1 \gg 1$ TeV. The right-handed coupling $\bar{t}_R W b_R$,

$$\delta A_{CC}^{R} \sim rac{g}{\sqrt{2}} rac{m_t m_b}{M_4^2}$$

need to satisfy the measurement of $b \rightarrow s\gamma$, \Rightarrow we need $M_4 \gtrsim 1$ TeV. For the couplings of the bottom quark we obtain

$$\delta g_{Zb_L} = 0$$
, $\delta g_{Zb_R} \simeq -\frac{g}{2c_W} s_{\phi L}^2 c_{\phi L}^2 \left(\frac{m_b}{M_*}\right)^2$;

 δg_{Zb_l} vanishs due to the custodial symmetry, but gets correction from higher order operators; δg_{Zb_R} is suppressed by m_b^2/M_*^2 . (EW constrants is satisfied)

The FCNC process with $|\Delta F| = 2$ transitions can place strong constraints. The relevent effective Lagrangian is:

$$\mathcal{L}^{|\Delta F|=2} = \sum_{i=1}^5 C_i^{q_lpha q_eta} \mathcal{Q}_i^{q_lpha q_eta} + \sum_{i=1}^3 ilde{C}_i^{q_lpha q_eta} ilde{\mathcal{Q}}_i^{q_lpha q_eta}$$

with the dimension six operators defined as

→ ∢ ∃ →

Firstly we apply the effective approach to the $D^0 - \overline{D}^0$ system. The contribution of a Higgs exchange to the operator Q_4^{uc} is estimated to be:

$$\frac{1}{m_{H}^{2}} \left(\frac{m_{c}}{M_{*}}\right)^{4} \simeq \frac{10^{-12}}{\text{TeV}^{2}} \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{M_{*}}\right)^{4} ,$$

The contribution from exchanging of a Z boson to the operator Q_1^{uc} is:

$$rac{g^2}{16c_W^2 m_Z^2} \left(rac{m_c}{M_*}
ight)^4 \simeq rac{10^{-11}}{{
m TeV}^2} \left(rac{1\ {
m TeV}}{M_*}
ight)^4 \, .$$

Therefore, flavour violation in the up sector is well under control.

・ロン ・聞と ・ほと ・ほと

In the down sector, using the higher order correction to δg_{Zb_L} , we can find effective operators of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{m_z^2} \left(s_{\phi L} \frac{m_z}{m_V} \right)^4 \left[(V_{dL33}^* V_{dL31})^2 \mathcal{Q}_1^{db} + (V_{dL33}^* V_{dL32})^2 \mathcal{Q}_1^{sb} + (V_{dL32}^* V_{dL31})^2 \mathcal{Q}_1^{ds} \right] \\ \simeq \quad \frac{10^{-4}}{\text{TeV}^2} \left[(V_{dL33}^* V_{dL31})^2 \mathcal{Q}_1^{db} + (V_{dL33}^* V_{dL32})^2 \mathcal{Q}_1^{sb} + (V_{dL32}^* V_{dL31})^2 \mathcal{Q}_1^{ds} \right] , \end{array}$$

setting $m_V \simeq 3$ TeV. These coefficients are too large, therefore one need the mixing angles in the down sector have a hierarchy.

$$|V_{dL33}^*V_{dL31}| < 10^{-1}$$
, $|V_{dL33}^*V_{dL32}| < 10^{-1/2}$, $|V_{dL32}^*V_{dL31}| < 10^{-5/2}$.

< 3 > < 3 >

Top rare decays

The CMS measurement for the flavour violating top decays, e.g. $t \rightarrow ch$, $t \rightarrow cZ$ with 19.7 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV is:

$$egin{array}{rcl} {\cal B}(t o ch) &< 6 \div 8 imes 10^{-3} & 95\% {
m CL}, \ {\cal B}(t o cZ) &< 5 imes 10^{-4} & 95\% {
m CL}. \end{array}$$

In our model, we can estimate the corresponding branching ratios to be:

$$\mathcal{B}(t
ightarrow ch) \simeq 0.25 \; (\left|y_{tc,L}
ight|^2 + \left|y_{tc,R}
ight|^2), \quad \mathcal{B}(t
ightarrow cZ) \simeq 3.5 \; \left(\delta A_{NC}^{tL,R}
ight)_{32}^2.$$

The leading contributions to misaligned Yukawas are:

$$y_{tc,L}\simeq y_{tc,R}\sim rac{m_c m_t}{fM_*}\simeq 10^{-4}\,,$$

and the third generation flavour violating Z couplings are:

$$\left(\delta A_{NC}^{tL,R}\right)_{32} \simeq \frac{g}{c_W} \frac{m_t m_c}{M_*^2} \simeq 10^{-4}$$

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

The CKM matrix is defined by the following expression:

$$V_{CKM} = V_{uL}^{\dagger} (1 + rac{\sqrt{2}}{g} \delta A_{CC}^L) V_{dL}$$
 .

Thus the correction δA_{CC}^{L} is constrained by unitarity, in particular

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{uL}^{\dagger} V_{dL} \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} V_{uL}^{\dagger} V_{dL} \end{pmatrix} = 1 \Rightarrow V_{dL}^{\dagger} (\delta A_{CC}^{L} + \delta A_{CC}^{L\dagger}) V_{dL} = V_{CKM}^{\dagger} V_{CKM} - 1,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{uL}^{\dagger} V_{dL} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{uL}^{\dagger} V_{dL} \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} = 1 \Rightarrow V_{uL}^{\dagger} (\delta A_{CC}^{L} + \delta A_{CC}^{L\dagger}) V_{uL} = V_{CKM} V_{CKM}^{\dagger} - 1.$$

For the up-type sector, the bound is easily satisfied, while a mild hierarchy in the down-type sector is required, we find

$$|V_{dL13}| < 10^{-1}$$
, $|V_{dL23}|^2 < 10^{-1}$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

First we consider the scalar interaction: $\mathcal{L} = \Phi(g_B \bar{Q} Q + g_S \tilde{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}) + \frac{1}{2} m_{\Phi}^2 \Phi^2$. After diagonalization and integrating out Φ , we find:

$$\mathcal{L}_{u} \simeq \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{M_{\star}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{\tilde{g}}{m_{\Phi}/\text{TeV}}\right)^{2} \times \frac{10^{-10}}{\text{TeV}^{2}} \mathcal{Q}_{4}^{uc},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{d} \simeq \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{M_{*}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{g_{B}}{m_{\Phi}/\text{ TeV}}\right)^{2} \times \frac{10^{-5}}{\text{ TeV}^{2}} \left[z_{4}^{db} \mathcal{Q}_{4}^{db} + z_{4}^{sb} \mathcal{Q}_{4}^{sb} + z_{4}^{ds} \mathcal{Q}_{4}^{ds}\right]$$

with the coefficients given by rotating matrices:

$$z_4^{d_\alpha d_\beta} = V_{dL3\alpha}^* V_{dL3\beta} \sum_{\gamma \delta} V_{dR\gamma\beta} V_{dR\delta\alpha}^*$$

The constraints on \mathcal{Q}_4 operators require the coefficients to satisfy:

$$|z_4^{db}| < 10^{-2} \,, \quad |z_4^{sb}|^2 < 10^{-1} \,\,, \quad |z_4^{ds}| < 10^{-6} \,\,,$$

by assuming $m_{\Phi}/g \sim 1$ TeV in a conservative scenario.

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト -

The interaction with a massive vector resonance is:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= V_{\mu} (g_{B} \bar{Q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{L} + g_{S} \tilde{\bar{T}}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \tilde{T}_{L}) + (L \to R) + \frac{1}{2} m_{V}^{2} V_{\mu} V^{\mu} \, . \\ C_{1}^{uc} &\sim \left(\frac{g_{B}}{m_{V}/\text{TeV}} \right)^{2} \times \frac{10^{-9}}{\text{TeV}^{2}} \, , \quad C_{1}^{d_{\alpha}d_{\beta}} \sim \left(\frac{g_{B}}{m_{V}/\text{TeV}} \right)^{2} \times \frac{[V_{dL3\alpha}^{*} V_{dL3\beta}]^{2}}{\text{TeV}^{2}} \end{split}$$

The constraints on C_1^{bd} , C_1^{bs} and C_1^{sd} imply, respectively,

 $|V_{dL33}^*V_{dL31}| < 10^{-3} \,, \quad |V_{dL33}^*V_{dL32}| < 10^{-2} \,, \quad |V_{dL32}^*V_{dL31}| < 10^{-5} \,.$

 \Rightarrow i.e. certain alignment in the down-sector is required.

In composite Higgs models, deviations in its couplings to quarks are given by non linearities:

$$rac{y_{SM}-y}{m/v}\simeq 1-rac{1-2s_\epsilon^2}{\sqrt{1-s_\epsilon^2}}\simeq 0.15$$
 .

This value is for the $h\bar{b}b$ coupling is allowed by the experiment measurement. A combined analysis for all the results is in order:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{FCNCs} &: |V_{dL33}^* V_{dL13}| < 10^{-1}, |V_{dL33}^* V_{dL23}| < 10^{-1/2}, |V_{dL13}^* V_{dL23}| < 10^{-5/2}, \\ \mathsf{CKM} \text{ unitarity } &: |V_{dL13}| < 10^{-1}, |V_{dL23}| < 10^{-1/2}, \\ \mathsf{Scalar resonance } &: |z_4^{db}| < 1 \div 10^{-2}, |z_4^{sb}| < 1 \div 10^{-1/2}, |z_4^{ds}| < 10^{-4} \div 10^{-6} \\ \mathsf{Vector resonance } &: |V_{dL33}^* V_{dL31}| < 10^{-1} \div 10^{-3}, |V_{dL33}^* V_{dL32}| < 1 \div 10^{-2}, \\ & |V_{dL32}^* V_{dL31}| < 10^{-3} \div 10^{-5}. \end{split}$$

The $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$ magnitude variation is that we may times a $(4\pi)^2$ factor for the resonance mass, thus the contraint will be relieved.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Partial composite bottom

We discuss the possibility for b_R to linearly couple to composite operator, containing B_L , without introducing additional bottom partner:

$$\mathcal{L} \supseteq \bar{q}_{3L} \mathcal{O}_{q_L} + \bar{b}_R \mathcal{O}_{b_R} + h.c.$$

The difference is that the mixing with b_R requires EWSB. Explicitly we can write down the Lagrangian to be:

$$\mathcal{L} = y_R f \, \bar{\psi}_L U^t d_{3R}^{14} \Sigma + h.c. = \frac{1}{2} y_R f_{S_\theta} \bar{B}_L b_R + h.c. \,,$$

where ψ is the quark partner five-plet that contains the bottom partner *B*, and d_{3R}^{14} is a spurion formally transforming as the **14** of SO(5), whose only dynamical component is the b_R :

$$d_{3R}^{14} = \frac{b_R}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & i & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -i & 1 & 0\\ 1 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0\\ i & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

From the partial compositeness and the elementary Yukawas we obtain:

$$\mathcal{L} = ar{d}_{lpha L} m^d_{lpha eta} d_{eta R} + h.c.\,, \quad m^d = m^d_{UV} rac{s_{2\epsilon}}{2} + \Pi rac{fy_R s_{\phi L}}{2} s_\epsilon\,.$$

We require $fy_R s_{\phi L} s_{\epsilon}/2 \simeq m_b$, and $|m_{UV}^d| \sim m_s \ll m_b$. This hierarchy generates the following rotating matrices:

$$V_{dL,R} \sim \left(egin{array}{ccc} O(1) & O(1) & O(rac{m_{
m s}}{m_b}) \ O(1) & O(1) & O(rac{m_{
m s}}{m_b}) \ O(rac{m_{
m s}}{m_b}) & O(rac{m_{
m s}}{m_b}) & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

In analogy to the top partial compositeness, we generate an additional mass hierarchy m_s/m_b , which relieves the flavor constraint in the down-quark sector.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Another minimal variation is to assume that t_R is a full composite state. This assumption leads to changes in the up-sector mass, Yukawa matrices and gauge interactions.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{comp} &= i \overline{Q}_{L,R} \left(\vec{D} + \not{E} \right) Q_{L,R} + i \overline{\tilde{T}}_{L,R} \vec{D} \widetilde{T}_{L,R} - M_4 \left(\overline{Q}_L Q_R + \overline{Q}_R Q_L \right) \\ &- M_1 \left(\overline{\tilde{T}}_L \widetilde{T}_R + \overline{\tilde{T}}_R \widetilde{T}_L \right) + i c_L \overline{Q}_L^i \gamma^{\mu} d_{\mu}^i \widetilde{T}_L \\ &+ i c_R \overline{Q}_R^i \gamma^{\mu} d_{\mu}^i \widetilde{T}_R + i c_t \overline{Q}_R^i \gamma^{\mu} d_{\mu}^i t_R + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_{mix} &= y_{L4,1} f \overline{q}_{3L}^5 U \psi_R + y_{Lt} f \overline{q}_{3L}^5 U t_R + \text{h.c.} \\ &= y_{L4} f \left(\overline{b}_L B_R + c_{\theta/2}^2 \overline{t}_L T_R + s_{\theta/2}^2 \overline{t}_L X_{2/3R} \right) \\ &- \frac{y_{L1} f}{\sqrt{2}} s_{\theta} \overline{t}_L \tilde{T}_R - \frac{y_{Lt} f}{\sqrt{2}} s_{\theta} \overline{t}_L \tilde{t}_R + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

э

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

We find that the factorization pattern displayed for m_U continue to hold, and the additional c_t term in the \mathcal{L}_{comp} will give rise to an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ correction in the Yukawa interaction:

$$\begin{split} m_U &\simeq \frac{s_{2\epsilon}}{2} \ m_{\rm UV}^u \mp m_t \Pi \,, \quad y_u \simeq \frac{m_U}{f s_{2\epsilon}/2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} s_{2\epsilon}^2 \right) + c_t \left(y_{Lt} - y_{L4} \right) c_{\phi L} \Pi + B_u \,, \\ \text{where } \Pi &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \,, \quad B_u \sim \frac{\Sigma_u}{M_*^2} \,, \quad \text{and} \ c_{\phi L} &= \frac{M_*}{\sqrt{M_*^2 + f^2 y_{L4}^2}} \,. \end{split}$$

The deviations in charged and neutral right-hand currents can be calculated and are of the same order as in the partial composite case.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

For scalar interaction, the effective Lagrangian is the same as before:

$$\mathcal{L} = \Phi(g_B ar{Q} Q + g_S ar{ ilde{T}} ilde{T}) + rac{1}{2} m_\Phi^2 \Phi^2 \,.$$

When we integrate out the scalar resonance, the effective Lagrangian for the dimension-6 operator is,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} \simeq rac{10^{-10}}{\text{TeV}^2} \left(rac{1 \text{ TeV}}{M_*}
ight)^2 \mathcal{Q}_4^{uc} \,.$$

The coefficient C_4^{uc} is well below the experimental bound.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Larger difference comes from the t_R coupling to a vector resonance V_μ due to the chiral property:

$$\mathcal{L}_V = V_\mu (g_B \bar{Q}_L \gamma^\mu Q_L + g_S \tilde{\bar{T}}_L \gamma^\mu \tilde{T}_L) + (L o R) + V_\mu g'_S \bar{t}_R \gamma^\mu t_R + \frac{1}{2} m_V^2 V_\mu V^\mu \,.$$

After rotating to the mass eigenstates and intergrating out the vector resonance,, we find the coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{1}^{uc} &: \quad \frac{1}{f^{2}} \left(s_{\phi L}^{2} \left(\frac{m_{c}}{m_{t}} \right)^{2} - 2 s_{\phi L}^{2} c_{\phi L}^{2} \left(\frac{m_{c}}{M_{*}} \right)^{2} \right)^{2} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{1}^{uc} &: \quad \frac{1}{f^{2}} \left(\frac{g_{S}^{\prime}}{g_{B}} \left(\frac{m_{c}}{m_{t}} \right)^{2} + \left((1 - 2 c_{\phi L})^{2} - \frac{g_{S}^{\prime}}{g_{B}} \right) s_{\phi L}^{2} \left(\frac{m_{c}}{M_{*}} \right)^{2} \right)^{2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore numerically, the Wilson coefficients are of order of $10^{-9}/\text{TeV}^2$, below the experimental bound.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

- We have explored the possibility that the top quark mass is mainly generated by partial compositeness, while other quarks masses are from four-fermion interaction.
- We showed that this scenario is naturally compatible with bounds from flavour constraints for the up sector. For the down sector, in order to satisfy the bounds, they require a mild hierarchy in the mixing matrice.
- The discussion of flavor violating suppression is quite general, can be generalized to the case with additional top partners and the scenario of non minimal coset.
- The mechanism also applies to the scenario of *t_R* being a full composite state, as the flavor structure would not be affected.