I/O Check of Angular Fit of $J/\psi \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$

 $J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}(\to \bar{p}\pi^+)\Lambda(\to n\pi^0), \qquad Measure \ \alpha_0$ $J/\psi \to \Lambda(\to p\pi^-)\overline{\Lambda}(\to \bar{n}\pi^0), \qquad Measure \ \overline{\alpha}_0$

裴宇鹏

Simply Introduction (4 Parameter)

- Measure $A_{CP} = \frac{\alpha_0 + \overline{\alpha}_0}{\alpha_0 \overline{\alpha}_0}$ to do CP test
- Production Parameter of spin-1/2 baryon at $c\overline{c}$: Angular distribution parameter α_{ψ} and relative phase $\Delta \Phi$
- **Decay Parameter for 2-body decays:** Decay parameter α_0 and $\overline{\alpha}_0$
- Joint angular distribution: $(\xi = (\theta, \theta_n, \phi_n, \theta_{\bar{n}}, \phi_{\bar{n}}))$

Unpolarised part Polarised part Spin correlated part $W(\xi) = \mathcal{T}_{0}(\xi) + \alpha_{\psi}\mathcal{T}_{5}(\xi) - \alpha \overline{\alpha} [\mathcal{T}_{1}(\xi) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{\psi}^{2}} \cos(\Delta \Phi) \mathcal{T}_{2}(\xi) + \alpha_{\psi}\mathcal{T}_{6}(\xi)] + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{\psi}^{2}} \sin(\Delta \Phi) [\alpha \mathcal{T}_{3}(\xi) - \overline{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{4}(\xi)]$

Table 1 Summary of the results				
Parameters	This work	Previous results		
α_{ψ}	$0.461 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.007$	0.469 ± 0.027 (ref. ¹⁴)		
$\Delta \Phi$	$42.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	-		
α_	$0.750 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.004$	0.642±0.013 (ref. 6)		
α_+	$-0.758 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.007$	-0.71±0.08 (ref. 6)		
$\overline{\alpha}_{0}$	$-0.692 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.006$	-		
A _{CP}	$-0.006 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.007$	0.006 ± 0.021 (ref. ⁶)		
$\overline{\alpha}_0/\alpha_+$	$0.913 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.012$	-		

Parameters: $J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$ angular distribution parameter α_{ψ} , helicity phase $\Delta \Phi$, asymmetry parameters for the $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-(\alpha_-)$, $\overline{\Lambda} \rightarrow \overline{p}\pi^+(\alpha_+)$ and $\overline{\Lambda} \rightarrow \overline{n}\pi^0(\overline{\alpha}_0)$ decays, CP asymmetry A_{CP} and ratio $\overline{\alpha}_0/\alpha_+$. The first uncertainty is 1s.d. statistical, and the second is systematic, calculated as described in the Methods.

	A_{CP}	Value
	$\frac{\alpha(\Lambda \to n\pi^0) + \alpha(\bar{\Lambda} \to \bar{n}\pi^0)}{\alpha(\Lambda \to n\pi^0) - \alpha(\bar{\Lambda} \to \bar{n}\pi^0)}$	Not be measured
Λ	$\alpha(\Lambda \to p\pi^-) + \alpha(\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{p}\pi^+)$	$-0.0025 \pm 0.0046 \pm 0.0011$
	$\overline{\alpha(\Lambda \to p\pi^-) - \alpha(\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{p}\pi^+)}$	$-0.006 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.007$
5 +	$\frac{\alpha(\Sigma^+ \to p\pi^0) + \alpha(\bar{\Sigma}^- \to \bar{p}\pi^0)}{\alpha(\Sigma^+ \to p\pi^0) - \alpha(\bar{\Sigma}^- \to \bar{p}\pi^0)}$	$-0.004 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.011$
Σ ⁺	$\frac{\alpha(\Sigma^+ \to n\pi^+) + \alpha(\bar{\Sigma}^- \to \bar{n}\pi^-)}{\alpha(\Sigma^+ \to n\pi^+) - \alpha(\bar{\Sigma}^- \to \bar{n}\pi^-)}$	$-0.049 \pm 0.054 \pm 0.030$
Ξ-	$\frac{\alpha(\Xi^- \to \Lambda \pi^-) + \alpha(\bar{\Xi}^+ \to \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+)}{\alpha(\Xi^- \to \Lambda \pi^-) - \alpha(\bar{\Xi}^+ \to \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+)}$	$-0.004 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.007$
Ξ0	$\frac{\alpha(\Xi^0 \to \Lambda \pi^0) + (\bar{\Xi}^0 \to \bar{\Lambda} \pi^0)}{\alpha(\Xi^0 \to \Lambda \pi^0) - (\bar{\Xi}^0 \to \bar{\Lambda} \pi^0)}$	$0.0022 \pm 0.0061 \pm 0.0013$

Simply Introduction

- Event selection of $J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}(\to \overline{p}\pi^+)\Lambda(\to n\pi^0)$ and $J/\psi \to \Lambda(\to p\pi^-)\overline{\Lambda}(\to \overline{n}\pi^0)$ is performed and the optimization have done.
- The main background comes from **self-mis-combined background**, but some different:
 - $n\pi^0$ channel: Mis-identification of **neutron showers**;
 - $\bar{n}\pi^0$ channel: Secondary shower related of anti-neutron.
- After event selection, the self-BKG is 3.58% and 7.02% of $n\pi^0$ and $\bar{n}\pi^0$ in signal region.



Parameter Estimation

• Maximum likelihood fit method is used to estimate the decay parameters.

$$\mathcal{S} = -\ln\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{sig}} \ln\mathcal{W}(\xi_i, \alpha) + \frac{N_{bkg}}{N_{BKG}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{BKG}} \ln\mathcal{W}(\xi_i, \alpha) + (N_{sig} - N_{bkg}) \ln C^{-1}$$

$$C^{-1} = \int d\xi \mathcal{W}(\xi, \alpha) \epsilon(\xi) = \int d\xi \frac{\mathcal{W}(\xi, \alpha)}{\mathcal{W}(\xi, \alpha')} \mathcal{W}(\xi, \alpha') \epsilon(\xi) = E[\frac{\mathcal{W}(\xi, \alpha)}{\mathcal{W}(\xi, \alpha')}] = \frac{1}{N_{Toy}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{Toy}} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\xi, \alpha)}{\mathcal{W}(\xi, \alpha')}$$

- *N_{sig}*: Events of data
- N_{bkg} : Events of background in signal region
- *N_{BKG}*: Events of a sample to estimate the background (mDIY exclusive MC or Sideband)
- $\mathcal{W}(\xi_i, \alpha)$: angular distribution
- *C*: Normalization factor, estimated by mDIY Toy MC

- N_{Toy} : Events of mDIY toy MC
- *α*: Pending decay parameters of data
- α' : Known decay parameters of toy MC

3 7

Outline

- Background Estimation
 - Exclusive MC to estimate BKG
 - Sideband Method to estimate BKG
- Simultaneous Fit
- A problem: Fit error in RooFit

Outline

- Background Estimation
 - Exclusive MC to estimate BKG
 - Sideband Method to estimate BKG
- Simultaneous Fit
- A problem: Fit error in RooFit

IO Check | No BKG (use matched MC)

50 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (50x data)





IO Check | With BKG, use exclusive MC to estimate BKG

50 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (50x data)





Outline

- Background Estimation
 - Exclusive MC to estimate BKG
 - Sideband Method to estimate BKG
- Simultaneous Fit
- A problem: Fit error in RooFit

Sideband Check (Just $\Lambda \rightarrow n\pi^0$)





0.00

0.002

0.00

CON



cost

Check 16 Moment of Signal and BKG in M_n

4 regions in mass of n/\overline{n} :

[0.9, 0.928] (Left Sideband)

[0.928, 0.942] (Left Signal Region)
[0.942, 0.955] (Right Signal Region)
[0.955, 0.98] (Right Sideband).



	Left Sideband	Left Signal Region	Right Signal Region	Right Sideband
N _{sig}	4829	437806	336090	6547
N_{bkg}	26052	14050	14145	19416



4 regions in mass of n/ \bar{n} : [0.9, 0.928] (Left Sideband) [0.928, 0.942] (Left Signal Reg [0.942, 0.955] (Right Signal Re [0.955, 0.98] (Right Sideband). [0.955, 0.98] (Right Sideband).

Left Sideband: Red

Left Signal Region: Black Right Signal Region: Green

Right Sideband: Pink





BKG 16-mom

4 regions in mass of n/n: [0.9, 0.928] (Left Sideband) [0.928, 0.942] (Left Signal Region [0.942, 0.955] (Right Signal Region [0.955, 0.98] (Right Sideband).

Left Sideband: Red

Left Signal Region: Black

Right Signal Region: Green

Right Sideband: Pink





Neutron Truth Match Angle



 $0.928 \le M_n \le 0.955$:



Fit Result (Use left and right sideband to estimate BKG)

50 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (50x data)



15

Outline

- Background Estimation
 - Exclusive MC to estimate BKG
 - Sideband Method to estimate BKG
- Simultaneous Fit
- A problem: Fit error in RooFit

 $J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}(\to \overline{p}\pi^+)\Lambda(\to n\pi^0) \text{ use the angular Function } \mathcal{W}(\xi; \alpha_{J/\psi}, \Delta\Phi, \alpha_+, \alpha_0)$ $J/\psi \to \Lambda(\to p\pi^-)\Lambda(\to \overline{n}\pi^0) \text{ use the angular Function } \mathcal{W}(\xi; \alpha_{J/\psi}, \Delta\Phi, \alpha_-, \overline{\alpha}_0)$

Share the $\alpha_{J/\psi}$ and $\Delta \Phi$

IO Check | Simultaneous Fit (No BKG)

50 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (50x data)



IO Check | Simultaneous Fit (With BKG, use exclusive MC to estimate) 50 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (50x data)

Outline

- Background Estimation
 - Exclusive MC to estimate BKG
 - Sideband Method to estimate BKG
- Simultaneous Fit
- A problem: Fit error in RooFit (How to calculate the fit error in a sub-range ?)

Fit Mass Check

- Exclusive MC: Difference just in random seed
 - $J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}(\to \overline{p}\pi^+)\Lambda(\to n\pi^0)$ (use mDIY, 1×data)
 - $J/\psi \to \Lambda(\to p\pi^-)\overline{\Lambda}(\to \overline{n}\pi^0)$ (use mDIY, 1×data)
- Signal MC:
 - $J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}(\to \overline{p}\pi^+)\Lambda(\to n\pi^0)$ (use mDIY, 1×data)
 - $J/\psi \to \Lambda(\to p\pi^-)\overline{\Lambda}(\to \overline{n}\pi^0)$ (use mDIY, 1×data)

20

• Fit Formular:

PDF = **Signal** (matched exclusive MC shape) + **BKG** (unmatched exclusive MC shape)

Signal Region	$\Lambda \rightarrow$	$\rightarrow n\pi^0$ $\overline{\Lambda} \rightarrow \overline{n}\pi$		$\bar{n}\pi^0$	
	Matched	Unmatched	Matched	Unmatched	
Truth Match	772380	28658	555632	41953	
Fit	772550 <u>+</u> 912	28593 <u>+</u> 229	555078 <u>+</u> 795	42233 <u>+</u> 272	
disparity	0.19σ	0.28σ	0.70σ	1.03σ	

Error in Signal Region

N_{Fit}^{BKG} 与 σ_{Fit}^{BKG} 是拟合得到的本底事例数与误差

Use a RooFIt error propagation function

https://root-forum.cern.ch/t/roofit-integral-error-and-manualquestion/45864/5

```
RooRealVar *xxx = w->var("mnbar");
xxx->setRange("myrange", lo_count, up_count);
```

```
RooAbsReal *sigint = sig->createIntegral(*xxx, *xxx, "myrange");
RooProduct sig_yield{"signal_yield", "signal_yield", {*sigint, nsig}};
double Nmat_sig = sig_yield.getVal();
double NmatErr_sig = sig_yield.getPropagatedError(*result, x);
```

RooAbsReal *bkgint = bkg->createIntegral(*xxx, *xxx, "myrange"); RooProduct bkg_yield{"Background_yield", "Background_yield", {*bkgint, nbkg}}; double Nmat_bkg = bkg_yield.getVal(); double NmatErr_bkg = bkg_yield.getPropagatedError(*result, x);

42233 ± 172

 $172 < \sqrt{42233} = 205.5$

$$\sigma_{SigReg}^{BKG} = frac_{BKG} \times \sigma_{Fit}^{BKG}$$

Fit Dange	Matched		Unmatched		
Fit Kange	Ν	Nerr	Ν	Nerr	
[0,9 0,98]	783846	919	73771	369	
[0.91, 0.97]	783492	927	60132	368	
[0.92, 0.96]	780777	957	42647	423	
[0.928, 0.955]	772516	1052	28522	610	

Summary

Danamatana	$\Lambda \rightarrow$	$n\pi^0$ $\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{n}\pi^0$		
Parameters	Pull μ	Pull σ	Pull μ	Pull σ
$\alpha_{J/\psi}$	0.017 ± 0.138	0.984 ± 0.097	0.023 ± 0.957	0.957 ± 0.096
$\Delta \Phi$	0.016 ± 0.148	1.055 ± 0.104	0.002 ± 0.104	0.744 ± 0.074
$(\Lambda \to \mathbf{n} \pi^0 \text{ or } \Lambda \to \mathbf{p} \pi^-)$	0.037 ± 0.141	1.004 ± 0.099	0.007 ± 0.122	0.873 ± 0.086
$ \begin{array}{c} \overline{\alpha} \\ (\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{p}\pi^+ \ or \overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{n}\pi^0) \end{array} $	0.043 ± 0.157	1.118 ± 0.111	-0.033 ± 0.121	0.863 ± 0.085

Simultaneous Fit					
Parameters	Pull µ	Pull σ			
$\alpha_{J/\psi}$	0.028 ± 0.144	1.030 ± 0.102			
$\Delta \Phi$	0.012 ± 0.133	0.953 ± 0.094			
$lpha_+(\overline{\Lambda} o \overline{p}\pi^+)$	0.040 ± 0.155	1.108 ± 0.110			
$\alpha_0(\Lambda o n\pi^0)$	0.037 ± 0.140	1.001 ± 0.099			
$\alpha_{-}(\Lambda ightarrow p\pi^{-})$	0.006 ± 0.123	0.876 ± 0.087			
$\overline{\alpha}_0(\overline{\Lambda}\to\overline{n}\pi^0)$	-0.033 ± 0.120	0.854 ± 0.084			

Next to do(2022-09-05)

- Truth有偏和重建无偏的理解
 - 彭老师:中心值可能无变化, turth统计量大,误差小
 - 可以看truth->效率->分辨,误差的变化
- Npi0与nbarpi0为何不对称, 有差别? (nbarpi0)
 - Truth这俩应该无任何差别
 - 可以将MC积分分成两份(x25),分别拟合,看两次的结果
- 16矩的差别:
 - 左右看着还行,和中间有差别?
 - C03和C30应该对称,但是不对称
 - 将信号区间分为左右,看差别,要理解sideband无法描述的原因
- Sideband方法与exclusive的比较
 - Sideband的BKG事例与信号区间同量级,但exclusive是50倍,二者不在一个量级
 - 可将exc只用1data的本底,看情况
 - 也可用x1 x10 x25看看变化
- Simultaneous Fit与单独拟合需要比较的是拟合出来的绝对结果,有怎样改善
 - 从目前看, alpha_jpsi和DeltaPhi变好对于alpha和alphabar影响不太大
- Sideband的理解很重要,精确测量如果用exclusive描述,如何补全data和MC的差别? Sideband的理解对于将来理解data和MC很重要
- 子区间误差用系数传递没有问题,因为在取子区间事例数时,pdf的形状已经确定,从大到小,传递误差时,按 照线性传递即可
- 改变拟合范围, 谱范围越来越小, 拟合误差越来越大, 因为随着谱变小, 信号和本底关联越来越强, 区分度越 来越小, 本底的约束变弱, 误差变大

- Truth的IO的pull的中心值是0.2,也可以接受, 但需要理解加上效率和分辨之后,IO还变好了? 仅仅是数凑上了罢了
- 图还是有点小。。。。。

Q1: npi0与nbarpi0的不对称

IO Check | nbarpi0 Truth with Efficiency (MC积分拆为两个25x) pull误差在两个sample中都偏小

IO Check | Truth (统计量与重建相同)

50 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (50x data)

IO Check | Truth with Efficiency

50 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (50x data)

Efficiency Curve

-- Red Line: $\Lambda \rightarrow n\pi^0$

-- Blue Line: $\overline{\Lambda} \rightarrow \overline{n}\pi^0$

Charged $\Lambda/\overline{\Lambda}$: 由带电径迹重建,对应于 $\Lambda \to p\pi^-, \overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{p}\pi^+$

Q2: Excluxive与sideband比较

Exclusive MC为 x50 data, 而Sideband仅有x1, 无法将二者直接比较

- 用x1的Exclusive MC比较
- 分别x1 x10 x25 x50的Exclusive MC, 看结果有何变化

Exclusive and Sideband (均用1x的NBKG) 二者差不多

Exclusive MC (用 1x 10x 25x 49x 的NBKG)

Pull	Ill Value 1x 10x 25x		49 x		
μ		-0.107 ± 0.137	-0.066 ± 0.138	0.017 ± 0.138	0.017 ± 0.138
$u_{J/\psi}$	σ	0.979 ± 0.097	0.984 ± 0.097	0.984 ± 0.097	0.984 ± 0.097
٨₼	μ	-0.350 ± 0.148	-0.053 ± 0.148	-0.012 ± 0.148	0.016 ± 0.148
ΔΦ	σ	1.060 ± 0.105	1.054 ± 0.104	1.054 ± 0.104	1.055 ± 0.104
α_+	μ	0.120 ± 0.158	0.046 ± 0.157	0.052 ± 0.156	0.043 ± 0.157
	σ	1.125 ± 0.111	1.119 ± 0.111	1.117 ± 0.111	1.118 ± 0.111
	μ	0.197 ± 0.140	0.052 ± 0.140	0.069 ± 0.140	0.037 ± 0.141
α_0	σ	1.003 ± 0.099	1.003 ± 0.099	1.002 ± 0.099	1.004 ± 0.099

Q3: 之前大统计量IOCheck不ok?

周一组会说起Truth不是很好,这里给出展示

误差变化(Truth 157W → Truth 77W → EffTruth → RecNoBKG)

Fit V	alue	Truth(157w)	Truth(77w)	Efficiency	Resolution
$\alpha_{I/\psi}$	μ	0.4740	0.4747	0.4747	0.4747
0.4747	σ	0.0025	0.0039	0.0042	0.0043
ΔΦ	μ	0.7515	0.7523	0.7524	0.7523
0.7521	σ	0.0059	0.0088	0.0093	0.0094
α_+	μ	-0.7569	-0.7558	-0.7557	-0.7557
-0.7559	σ	0.0064	0.0083	0.0084	0.0084
α_0	μ	0.7389	0.7401	0.7402	0.7402
0.74	σ	0.0057	0.0082	0.0075	0.0075

误差变化(Truth 157W → Truth 77W → EffTruth → RecNoBKG)

Q4: Sideband 分布

Check 16 Moment of Signal and BKG in M_n

4 regions in mass of n/n:
[0.9, 0.928] (Left Sideband)
[0.928, 0.942] (Left Signal Region)
[0.942, 0.955] (Right Signal Region)
[0.955, 0.98] (Right Sideband).

		Left Sideband	Left Signal Region	Right Signal Region	Right Sideband
	N _{sig}	-	437806	336090	-
$n\pi^{\circ}$	N _{bkg}	26052	14050	14145	19416
=-0	N _{sig}	-	299528	255854	-
$n\pi^{\circ}$	N _{bkg}	30876	20116	22016	3319 <u>1</u>

Left Sideband: Red

Left Signal Region: Black Right Signal Region: Green ₃ Right Sideband: Blue

C30->Fill(cos, \hat{P}_z^n) \hat{P}_z^n :中子动量单位 矢量于z轴的投影

$\frac{\text{BKG 16-mom}}{\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{n}\pi^0 \text{ Channel s}}$

Left Sideband: Red Left Signal Region: Black Right Signal Region: Green Right Sideband: Blue

CO3->Fill(cos, $\hat{P}_z^{\bar{n}}$) $\hat{P}_z^{\bar{n}}$:反中子动量单 位矢量于z轴的投影

BKG Truth Match Angle

 $\Lambda \to n\pi^0$

BKG Truth Match Angle

 $\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{n}\pi^0$

SIG Truth Match Angle

50000 50000 40000 40000 Events 30000 Events 00000 20000 20000 10000 10000 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.2 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 0.6 0.8 Left Signal Region: Black 2 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 $\Delta \theta(^{\circ})$ Lambdabar Truth Match Angle $\Delta \theta(^{\circ})$ Lambda Truth Match Angle **Right Signal Region: Green** 70000 E 50000 60000 E 50000 40000 F 注意这个图范 Standard 40000 Events 00000 围比其他图大 20000 20000 10000 10000 0 0 1.5 0.5 2 2.5 4.5 0 3 3.5 4 5 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 0 2

 $\Delta \theta(^{\circ})$ pbar Truth Match Angle

 $\Lambda \rightarrow n\pi^0$

 $\Delta \theta(^{\circ})$ n0 Truth Match Angle

SIG Truth Match Angle

35000 E 35000 E 30000 -30000 25000 25000 E Store 20000 stude 15000 10000 10000 5000 5000 E 0 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.4 0.6 0.8 Left Signal Region: Black 0.2 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 0.2 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 $\Delta \theta(^{\circ})$ Lambda Truth Match Angle $\Delta \theta(^{\circ})$ Lambdabar Truth Match Angle **Right Signal Region: Green** 50000 p 35000 40000 30000 注意这个图范 25000 20000 standard E 20000 围比其他图大 15000 10000 10000 5000 0 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.6

 $\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{n}\pi^0$

 $\Delta \theta(^{\circ})$ nbar Truth Match Angle

2.5

3

3.5

4

4.5

5

1.5

2

0.5

0

 $\Delta \theta(^{\circ})$ proton Truth Match Angle

0

1.4

1.8

2

Back up

<pre>/etc/condor/wrapper/wrapper.sh: line 26:</pre>	5853 Killed	/usr/bin/timeout	\$JobLimit S	\${UserExe}	"\$@"
<pre>/etc/condor/wrapper/wrapper.sh: line 26:</pre>	5844 Killed	/usr/bin/timeout	\$JobLimit S	\${UserExe}	"\$@"
<pre>/etc/condor/wrapper/wrapper.sh: line 26:</pre>	5910 Killed	/usr/bin/timeout	\$JobLimit S	\${UserExe}	"\$@"
<pre>/etc/condor/wrapper/wrapper.sh: line 26:</pre>	5886 кilled	/usr/bin/timeout	\$JobLimit S	\${UserExe}	"\$@"
<pre>/etc/condor/wrapper/wrapper.sh: line 26:</pre>	6017 Killed	/usr/bin/timeout	\$JobLimit S	\${UserExe}	"\$@"
<pre>/etc/condor/wrapper/wrapper.sh: line 26:</pre>	6029 Killed	/usr/bin/timeout	\$JobLimit S	\${UserExe}	"\$@"
<pre>/etc/condor/wrapper/wrapper.sh: line 26:</pre>	6038 Killed	/usr/bin/timeout	\$JobLimit S	\${UserExe}	"\$@"

#!/bin/bash 3 ## The handler of signals 4 trap stop_user_job 2 3 15 5 stop_user_job() { 6 #echo "Job \${_CONDOR_IHEP_JOB_ID} is stopped by signal" kill -TERM \$JobPid 8 } 10 ## execute user's job with maximum (JobLimit) wall time 11 JobWallTime=`grep -E '^AcctGroup ' \$_CONDOR_JOB_AD |awk -F'"' '{print \$2}'` 12 JobLimit=28800 # 8 hours if [["\$JobWallTime" == "long"]]; then JobLimit=1209600 # 14 days fi 16 UserExe=\$1 17 shift 18 /usr/bin/timeout \$JobLimit \${UserExe} "\$@" & 19 20 ## Capture the pid of the job to kill later, if needed 21 JobPid=\$! 22 ## Wait for the job to exit of own accord 23 wait \$JobPid ExitCode=\$? if [\$ExitCode -eq 124]; then echo "Job is KILLED: exceeds the time limit ("\$JobLimit" secondes). " >&2 fi

9 exit \$ExitCode

Slides From Liu Liang 30 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (30x data)

4 regions in mass of n/ \bar{n} : [0.9, 0.928] (Left Sideband) [0.928, 0.942] (Left Signal Reg [0.942, 0.955] (Right Signal Re [0.955, 0.98] (Right Sideband). [0.955, 0.98] (Right Sideband).

Left Sideband: Red

Left Signal Region: Black Right Signal Region: Green

Right Sideband: Pink

IO Check | Exclusive MC Method Details

50 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (50x data) Some Details

- Nsig: 产生50份mDIY MC (1x data), (每个 mDIY 含有 match 和 unmatch)
- NBKG: 描述本底的 Exclusive MC, 由上述50份 mDIY MC得到:
 - 50 mDIY MC得到unmatch部分
 - 对于拟合 sample i, 将除i之外其他 mDIY MC unmatched 加在一起, 作为sample i 的exclusive mc
- Nbkg: 用 match 和 unmatched 的形状拟合mDIY MC,得到Nbkg, 50个样本都用同样的 match 和 unmatch 形状拟合
- NToy: 将50份 mDIY MC mached 合起来作为MC积分的 Toy MC

$$N_{BKG}(sample_i) = \sum_{k \neq i}^{50} N_{sig}^{unMatch}(sample_i)$$
$$N_{Toy} = \sum_{i=1}^{50} N_{sig}^{Match}$$
$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{sig}} ln \mathcal{W}(\xi_i, \alpha) + \frac{N_{bkg}}{N_{BKG}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{BKG}} ln \mathcal{W}(\xi_i, \alpha) + (N_{sig} - N_{bkg}) ln C^{-1}$$

IO Check | Sideband Method Details

50 independent samples (1x data) + 1 share mDIY integral MC (50x data) Some Details

- Nsig: 产生50份mDIY MC (1x data), (每个 mDIY 含有 match 和 unmatch)
- NBKG: 取左右sideband的事例数,左右sideband贡献,除以NBKG为归一化
- Nbkg: 用 match 和 unmatched 的形状拟合mDIY MC,得到Nbkg, 50个样本都用同样的 match 和 unmatch 形状拟合
- NToy: 将50份 mDIY MC mached 合起来作为MC积分的 Toy MC

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{n}_{\alpha} n_{\beta}$$

 $\bar{n}_{\alpha}: \bar{\Lambda}$ 衰变的重子动量于给定参考系中的分量
 $n_{\beta}: \Lambda$ 衰变的重子动量于给定参考系中的分量

Figure 12 shows the distribution of moment versus $\cos \theta$, the $\cos \theta$ is divided by 10 bins. For main value it is normalized by total number of events of the average value in *i*-th bin, which means:

$$T_i = \frac{1}{N_{total}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} C_{\alpha\beta},\tag{13}$$

here $N_{total} = 1934$ is the number of events of data sample and N_i is the number of events in the *i*-th bin. For the corresponding uncertainty, it is estimated by the formula below:

$$\sigma = \frac{T_i}{\sqrt{N_i}} + \sqrt{\frac{N_i}{N_{total}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} C_{\alpha\beta}^2 - \frac{1}{N_{total}^2} [\sum_{k=1}^{N_i} C_{\alpha\beta}]^2}$$
(14)

227

Event Selection of $\bar{p}\pi^+n\pi^0$

- ➢ Good Charged Tracks
 - $V_r \leq 10cm$, $|V_z| \leq 30cm$
 - $|cos\theta| < 0.93$
 - nGood = 2

➢ PID (Use dedx+TOF)

- **Proton**: *p* > 0.5 GeV/c && PID: Prob(p) > Prob(K/π)
- Pion: *p* < 0.5 GeV/c && PID: Prob(π) > Prob(K/p)

➢ Good Shower Selection

- $|cos\theta| \le 0.8$, E > 25 MeV
- $0.86 \leq |\cos\theta| \leq 0.92$, E > 50 MeV
- $0 \leq TDC \leq 14$
- Nshower ≥ 2
- $Ang_{shower,ChgTrk} \ge 10^{\circ} (\text{for } \bar{p} \ge 20^{\circ})$

$\succ \overline{\Lambda}$ Reconstruction

- Primary and Secondary vertex fit
- $L/\sigma_L > 2.0$
- $\chi^2_{sec} < 15$
- $\left| M_{\bar{p}\pi^+} 1.1157 \right| < 0.008 \, (GeV/c^2)$
- $M_{\bar{p}\pi^+}^{recoil} \in [1.069, 1.152] \, GeV/c^2$
- ➤ 2C Kinematic fit
 - On the hypothesis of $\overline{\Lambda}n\gamma\gamma$
 - Loop all γ pairs, perform:
 - $75 < M_{\gamma\gamma} < 175 \,({\rm MeV}/c^2)$
 - $\frac{|E_1 E_2|}{p_{\pi^0}} < 0.9$
 - $\theta_{\gamma,\Lambda} > 10^\circ$, Λ direction is recoiled from $\overline{\Lambda}$
 - BDT Response > 0.15
 - $\overline{\Lambda}$ is from secondary vertex fit
 - Neutron is treated as a missing particle
 - Constrain $M_{n\pi^0} = M_{\Lambda}^{PDG}$ and $M_{\gamma\gamma} = M_{\pi^0}^{PDG}$
 - $\chi^2_{kmfit} < 50$
 - $M_n \in [0.90, 0.98] GeV/c^2$

Event Selection of $p\pi^-\bar{n}\pi^0$

Charged Tracks

- $V_r \leq 10cm$, $|V_z| \leq 30cm$
- $|cos\theta| < 0.93$
- nGood = 2
- ➢ PID (Use dedx+TOF)
 - **Proton**: *p* > 0.5 GeV/c && PID: Prob(p) > Prob(K/π)
 - **Pion**: p < 0.5 GeV/c && PID:

 $Prob(\pi) > Prob(K/p)$

- nProton ≥ 1 ; nPion ≥ 1
- Shower Selection
 - $|\cos\theta| \le 0.8$, E > 25 MeV
 - $0.86 \leq |\cos\theta| \leq 0.92$, E > 50 MeV
 - $0 \le TDC \le 14$
 - Nshower ≥ 2
 - $\theta_{Trk,\gamma} \ge 10^{\circ}$

$\blacktriangleright \Lambda$ Reconstruction

- Primary and Secondary vertex fit
- $L/\sigma_L > 2.0$
- $\chi^2_{sec} < 15$
- $|M_{p\pi^-} 1.1157| < 8 \text{ MeV}/c^2$
- $M_{p\pi^-}^{recoil} \in [1.069, 1.152] \, GeV/c^2$
- ➤ 2C Kinematic fit
 - on the hypothesis of $\Lambda \bar{n} \gamma \gamma$
 - Loop all γ pairs, perform:
 - $75 < M_{\gamma\gamma} < 175 \; (\text{MeV}/c^2)$
 - $\frac{|E_1 E_2|}{\pi^0} < 0.8$
 - $\theta_{\gamma,\Lambda} > 15^\circ$, $\overline{\Lambda}$ direction is recoiled from Λ
 - BDT Response > 0.15
 - Λ is from secondary vertex fit
 - Anti-neutron is treated as a missing particle
 - Constrain $M_{\bar{n}\pi^0} = M_{\bar{\Lambda}}^{PDG}$ and $M_{\gamma\gamma} = M_{\pi^0}^{PDG}$
 - $\chi^2_{kmfit} < 50$
 - $M_{\bar{n}} \in [0.9, 0.98] \ GeV/c^2$

	$\overline{p}\pi^+n\pi^0$		$p\pi^{-}$	$\overline{n}\pi^0$
	eff	(%)	eff	(%)
Total	100%		100%	
Good Charged	65.6%		64.3%	
PID	63.6%	97.0%	62.6%	97.3%
Good Shower	56.2%	88.4%	60.3%	96.4%
Vertex Fit $(L/Lerr > 2 \&\& \chi^2_{sec} < 15$ $\overline{\Lambda}/\Lambda 5\sigma$ mass window $\overline{\Lambda}/\Lambda 3\sigma$ recoil mass)	44.7%	79.5%	47.8%	79.3%
Kinematic Fit $(\theta_{\Lambda,\gamma} > 10^{\circ} / \theta_{\overline{\Lambda},\gamma} > 15^{\circ})$ $Asy < 0.8$ $BDT > 0.15)$	26.4%	59.1%	20.5%	42.8%
$\chi^2_{kmfit} < 50$	23.0%	87.2%	17.4%	84.8%
$0.90 < M_n/M_{\bar{n}} < 0.98$	20.2%	87.6%	15.8%	91.0%
γ Truth Match	18.4%	91.4%	13.3%	84.3%