Measurements of inclusive ψ(2S) to J/ψ ratio at midrapidity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13.6 TeV with ALICE

Yuan Zhang
University of Science and Technology of China
yuan.z@cern.ch

Abstract

Quarkonium production in high-energy pp collisions is an important tool for studying perturbative and non-perturbative aspects of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) calculations. The production process of charmonia can be factorized into two stages: the heavy quark production and the formation of the bound state. The former happens within initial hard parton-parton scatterings with large momentum transfers, and can be well described by perturbative QCD. The second one, which involves long distances and soft momentum scales, is a typical non-perturbative process. Measurements of J/ψ and ψ(2S) cross section in pp collisions are crucial for studying charmonium production mechanisms and testing different QCD-based model calculations. They can also provide a reference for investigating the quark-gluon plasma formed in nucleus-nucleus collisions and the cold nuclear matter effects present in proton-nucleus collisions.
In this poster, the ratio of production of ψ(2S) and J/ψ is shown based on the data collected in 2022 by the upgraded ALICE detector during the Run 3 of LHC, which offers significantly higher statistics compared to previous runs. The result is compared with measurements from other experiments at different energy and also several model calculations.

Motivation



- Crucial for studying charmonium production mechanisms and testing different QCD-based models.
  - Heavy-quark production (perturbative QCD)
  - Formation of the bound charmonium states (non-perturbative QCD)



- Study the rapidity dependence of charmonium production by comparing to similar measurements at forward rapidity at the same collision energy[1].
- Used as reference for studying AA collisions.

ALICE detector (Run 2 configuration and Run 3 upgrade)

- Inclusive quarkonia are reconstructed in e^+e^- channel at midrapidity ($|y| < 0.9$) down to $p_T = 0$.



- Time Projection Chamber (TPC)
  - Tracking
  - Particle identification via dE/dx measurement
  - Momentum measurement
- TPC upgrade:
  - Readout chambers replaced with Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM) chambers.

Enable continuous readout of Pb–Pb events at an interaction rate up to 50 kHz (~10^2 w.r.t. run 2).

- Inner Tracking System (ITS)
  - Tracking
  - Vertex reconstruction
- ITS upgrade:
  - 6 layers ⟹ 7 layers equipped with Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors (MAPS).
  - Radius of innermost layer: 39 mm ⟹ 23mm.
  - Material budget for each of the 3 innermost layers: 1.15% ⟹ 0.35%.

Data analysis procedure

- Dataset:
  - pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13.6 TeV collected in 2022 with the ALICE upgraded detector.
  - 524 × 10^9 minimum-bias (MB) events collected thanks to the continuous readout.
- Electron identification via TPC dE/dx.
- Signal extraction:
  - Combinatorial background is subtracted using mixed-event unlike-sign method.
  - Residual background: second order polynomial function divided by an exponential function.
  - Signal shapes are described by Double Crystal Ball functions. Possible differences between the J/ψ and ψ(2S) shapes are assigned as systematic uncertainties.



- Efficiency correction:
  - Tracking efficiency and efficiency related to the choice of the signal mass window largely cancel out in the ψ(2S)-to-J/ψ ratio. Residuals are assigned as systematic uncertainties.
  - PID efficiency is assessed using a data-driven approach.
  - Acceptance effects are corrected with a MC simulation.

Results

$$\frac{\sigma_{\psi(2S)}}{\sigma_{J/\psi}} = \frac{N_{\psi(2S)}}{N_{J/\psi}} \frac{(A \times \varepsilon)_{J/\psi}}{(A \times \varepsilon)_{\psi(2S)}} \frac{BR_{J/\psi \to ee}}{BR_{\psi(2S) \to ee}}$$

- N: raw counts.
- $A \times \varepsilon$: acceptance times efficiency
- BR: Branching ratio

- The measured p_T-integrated ratio without BR uncertainty is 0.155 ±0.010(stat.) ±0.014(syst.)





- The results (red points) are shown together with existing results from ALICE at forward rapidity and from other experiments [1-10].
  - In agreement with other results.
  - No significant energy and rapidity dependence.
  - Slight p_T dependence (also expected from models).





- Comparison with models[11-14]:
  - NRQCD overestimates the ratio at high p_T.
  - CGC + NRQCD describes the ratio at low and intermediate p_T.
  - ICEM can reproduce the data.

Summary and outlook

- The ψ(2S)-to-J/ψ ratio is measured in pp collision at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13.6 TeV at midrapidity.
  - In agreement with other results.
  - A slight p_T dependence (also expected from models).
  - No significant energy and rapidity dependence.
  - Comparison with models[11-14].
    - NRQCD overestimates the ratio.
    - CGC + NRQCD describes the ratio at low and intermediate p_T.
    - ICEM can reproduce the data.
- Provides a reference for investigating the quark-gluon plasma in nucleus-nucleus collisions and the cold nuclear matter effects in proton-nucleus collisions.
- The prompt and non-prompt ψ(2S)-to-J/ψ ratio as well as the cross section of prompt/non-prompt charmonia will be measured in Run 3.



Reference

[1] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 61
[2] LHCb Collaboration, R. Aaij et al., J. Phys. G 40 (2013) 045001.
[3] E705 Collaboration, L. Antoniazzi et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 70 (1993) 383-386.
[4] STAR Collaboration, J. Adam et al., Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 052009.
[5] PHENIX Collaboration, A. Adare et al., Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 092004.
[6] A.G. Clark et al., Nucl. Phys. B 142 (1978) 29.
[7] HERA-B Collaboration, I. Abt et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 49 (2007) 545-558.
[8] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., JHEP 02 (2012) 011.
[9] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 79 (1997) 572-577.
[10] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 76 (2016) 5, 283.
[11] Y-Q. Ma et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 106 (2011) 042002.
[12] M. Butenschoen et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 106 (2011) 022003.
[13] Y-Q. Ma et al., Phys.Rev.D 94 (2016) 11, 114029.
[14] M. Cacciari et al., JHEP 10 (2012) 137.