Where do the usual Coulomb correction factor come from

Haiming HU (IHEP)

Workshop of the Baryon Production at BESIII

September 14-16, 2019, USTC, Hefei

Outline

- **Motivations**
- **Two-body wave function in Coulomb potential**
- Threshold effects by quantum mechanics
- Summary

Motivations

Experiments: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow B\bar{B}$

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$: an enhancement and wide plateau
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \overline{\Lambda}$: non-zero cross section near threshold





• Born cross section for the process

$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2\beta}{3s}C[|G_M(s)|^2 + \frac{2M^2}{s}|G_E(s)|^2]$$

- Baryon speed $\beta = \sqrt{1 4M^2/s}$
- Coulomb factor *C* , non-zero cross section at threshold $\beta \rightarrow 0$

$$C = \frac{y}{1 - exp(-y)} \qquad y = \pi \alpha M / \beta \sqrt{s} \qquad \qquad C \to = \frac{\pi \alpha M}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{1}{\beta} \quad (\beta \to 0)$$

- Where the factor C come from? How to understand C?
- Is it correct or reliable for baryons? Does C describes threshold effect truly?

Principles and definition

• Reference

A.D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. Usp. 34(5), 1991 Interaction of the electron and positron in pair production

• Hamiltonian and eigenfunction

 $H = H_0 + H_I \qquad H_0 = \tilde{H}_0 + W$ $\tilde{H}_0 \tilde{\Psi} = E_0 \tilde{\Psi}$

• Matrix

Representing from initial state to final state $i \rightarrow f$: Absent interaction: \tilde{V} Existing interaction: V

• Correction factor of interaction Differential probability for process $i \rightarrow f$ Absent interaction: $d\tilde{\omega}$ Existing interaction: $d\omega$ Correction factor :

$$T = \frac{d\omega}{d\tilde{\omega}} = |\frac{V}{\tilde{V}}|^2$$

Formulas

A.D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. Usp. 34(5), 1991

- Transition matrix elements for $p_+p_- \rightarrow p_+' p_-'$ $V_{p'_+p'_-} = \int \Psi_0^* H_I \Psi_{p'_+p'_-} dq$
- Interaction wave function

Linear combination of zero-level wave function (in Lab.)

$$\Psi_{p'_{+}p'_{-}} = \int d^{3}p_{+}d^{2}p_{-} < p_{+}p_{-}|c|p'_{+}p'_{-} > \tilde{\Psi}_{p_{+}p_{-}}$$

• Interaction transition matrix element

$$V_{p'_{+}p'_{-}} = \int d^{3}p_{+}d^{2}p_{-} < p_{+}p_{-}|c|p'_{+}p'_{-} > \tilde{V}_{p_{+}p_{-}}$$

• Perturbative property

 $\alpha = 1/137$, very small, the final state is very close to the initial state

$$c_p \to \tilde{c} = \delta(p_+ - p'_+)\delta(p_- - p'_-)$$
 in the limiting case $e \to 0$
 $V_{p'_+p'_-} \approx \tilde{V}_{p_+p_-} \int d^3 p_+ d^2 p_- < p_+p_-|c|p'_+p'_- >$

• Amplitude of interaction correction

$$J = \frac{V}{\tilde{V}} = \int d^3 p_+ d^3 p_- \cdot < p_+ p_- |c| p'_+ p'_- > = \int d^3 p_+ d^3 p_- \cdot c_p$$

Kinematics Laboratory: p_+, p_- Center of mass: k_+, k_-

c is some unitary singular matrix very close to δ matrix

Formulas

A.D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. Usp. 34(5), 1991

• Matrix elements between Laboratory and center-f-mass systems

$$< k_{+}k_{-}|c_{k}|k'_{+}k'_{-} >= \sqrt{\lambda\lambda'} < p_{+}p_{-}|c_{p}|p'_{+}p'_{-} > \qquad \lambda, \lambda': \text{ Jacobians}$$

$$(\text{Theory calculation} \quad (\text{momentum space}) \quad \lambda \approx \lambda'$$

$$I = \int d^{3}p_{+}d^{3}p_{-} \cdot c_{p} = \int d^{3}p_{+}d^{3}p_{-} \cdot J^{-1}c_{k} = \int d^{3}k_{+}d^{3}k_{-} \cdot c_{k}$$

- Fourier transformation
 - The relation between matrix c and wave function

$$\int d^3k_+ d^3k_- c_k = (2\pi)^3 < 00|c_x|k'_+k'_- >$$

- Solution of Schrodinger equation

• Coulomb interaction correction factor

$$J = |F(i\varepsilon, 1, i\infty)|^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{1 - \exp(-2\pi\varepsilon)}} \qquad F_c = T = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{1 - \exp(-2\pi\varepsilon)} \qquad 6$$

Two-body system in Coulomb potential

- Interaction: Coulomb potential of point charges $U(r) = \pm \frac{\alpha}{r}$
- Close to threshold, nonrelativistic $\beta \rightarrow 0$
- Schrodinger's equation
 - L. D. Landau, E. M .Lifshitz Quantum Mechanics, Non-relativistic theory
 - Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_1}\Delta_1 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_2}\Delta_2 + U(r) \qquad \qquad \hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\Delta_R - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + U(r)$$

- Wave function

 $\Psi = \phi(\vec{R})\psi(\vec{r}) \longrightarrow \text{Relative motion in potential U(r), physics interesting}$

Motion of the center of mass, as free particle, physics ordinary

- Schrodinger equation

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial r}) - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}\frac{1}{r^2}\hat{L}^2\psi + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}[E - U(r)]\psi = 0 \qquad \qquad \psi(\vec{r}) = R(r)Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$$

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^2\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}\right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}R + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\left[E - U(r)\right]R = 0 \qquad \qquad \hat{L}^2Y_{lm} = \hbar^2 l(l+1)Y_{lm}$$

Units: mass: m, length: $\hbar^2/a\alpha$, time: $\hbar^3/m\alpha^2 \rightarrow \text{energy}: m\alpha^2/\hbar^2$

S $\vec{r} = \vec{r_2} - \vec{r_1}$ $\vec{R} = \frac{m_1 \vec{r_1} + m_2 \vec{r_2}}{r_1}$

$$m = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \qquad m_1 + m_2$$

7

Solutions of Schrodinger equation

• Discrete spectrum: E < 0, combined state

$$n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2E}}$$
 $E = -\frac{1}{n^2}$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$

(No interesting here)

• Continuous spectrum: E > 0 $n = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2E}} = \frac{-i}{k}$ $\rho = 2ikr$

$$p = mv = \hbar k$$
 $p = \hbar k$ $v = \frac{\hbar}{m}k$

• Solutions: wave functions

$$\frac{k}{2\pi} \text{ scale } R_{kl}(r) = \frac{C_{kl}}{(2l+1)!} e^{-ikr} (2kr)^l F(\frac{i}{k}+l+1,2l+2,i2kr)$$

$$energy \text{ scale } R_{El}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{dk}{dE}} R_{kl}(r) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi k}} R_{kl}(r) \int \Psi_f(q) dq = \delta(q'-q)$$

$$\int \Psi_{\phi(f')}^*(q') \Psi_{\phi(f)}(q) dq = \delta[\phi(f') - \phi(f)]$$

$$\Psi_{\phi(f)} = \frac{\Psi_f}{\sqrt{|d\phi(f)/df|}}$$

• Renormalization constant

$$U(r) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha}{r} & \text{(attractive)} \\ +\frac{\alpha}{r} & \text{(repulsive)} \end{cases}$$

- attractive potential

$$C_{kl} = 2ke^{\pi/2k}|\Gamma(l+1-i/k)| = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi k}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-2\pi/k}}} \prod_{j=0}^{l} (j^2 + \frac{1}{k^2})$$

- repulsive potential

$$C_{kl} = 2ke^{\pi/2k}|\Gamma(l+1+i/k)| = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi k}}{\sqrt{e^{2\pi/k}-1}} \prod_{j=0}^{l} (j^2 + \frac{1}{k^2})$$

Threshold effect?

• At origin r = 0

$$|R_{E0}(0)|^{2} = \frac{4m}{\hbar} \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-2\pi/k)} \qquad (U(r) = -\frac{\alpha}{r}) \qquad k \to 0, \quad |R_{E0}(0)|^{2} \to \frac{4m}{\hbar} \quad \text{finit}$$

$$|R_{E0}(0)|^{2} = \frac{4m}{\hbar} \frac{1}{\exp(+2\pi/k) - 1} \qquad (U(r) = +\frac{\alpha}{r}) \qquad k \to 0, \quad |R_{E0}(0)|^{2} \to 0 \quad \text{zero}$$

$$|R_{E0}(0)|^{2} \sim F_{c} ? \qquad |R_{E0}(0)|^{2} \sigma_{0}(s_{th}) \to 0$$

zero-point wave function can not account for threshold effect

• Consider incident beam? $I = |\vec{v}| = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar k}{m}} \quad \text{current density}$ L.I. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics, p141 $|R_{E0}^{I}(0)|^{2} = \frac{|R_{E0}(0)|^{2}}{I} = \frac{m^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{1/\hbar k}{1 - \exp(-2\pi/k)} = \frac{m^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{1/mv}{1 - \exp(-2\pi\hbar/mv)} \quad (U(r) = -\frac{\alpha}{r}) \quad \text{Threshold}$ effect

$$|R_{E0}^{I}(0)|^{2} = \frac{|R_{E0}(0)|^{2}}{I} = \frac{m^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{1/\hbar k}{\exp(+2\pi/k) - 1} = \frac{m^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{1/mv}{\exp(+2\pi\hbar/mv) - 1} \quad (U(r) = +\frac{\alpha}{r})$$

• But cross section has considered incident beam

 $d\sigma_{fi} = \frac{dP_{fi}}{I} \quad \sigma_{fi} = \frac{P_{fi}}{I}$

• Double counting considered incident beam?

$$\tilde{\sigma}_0(s_{th}) = |\tilde{R}_{E0}^I(0)|^2 \cdot \sigma_0(s_{th}) \propto \frac{1}{I^2}$$

Initial one particle wave function $\psi \sim \frac{1}{v} \exp[-\frac{i}{\hbar} (Et - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r})]$

9

Point or nonpoint particles?

- Electron, point particle $r_{min} = 0$
 - above calculations and conclusion seems reasonable
 - at origin r = 0, only l = 0 partial wave (s wave) has non-zero contribution

$$R_{kl}(r) = \frac{C_{kl}}{(2l+1)!} e^{-ikr} (2kr)^l F(\frac{i}{k} + l + 1, 2l + 2, i2kr)$$

• Baryon, non-point particle, with component, $r_{min} = r_0 > 0$



- all *l* partial wave contribution are allowed, l = 0, 1, 2, ...

$$|R_{kl}^{I}(r_{0})|^{2} = \frac{8\pi/k}{1 - \exp(-2\pi/k)} (2r_{0}k)^{2l} [\prod_{j=0}^{l} (j^{2} + \frac{1}{k^{2}})]^{2} |F(\frac{i}{k} + l + 1, 2l + 1, 2ikr_{0})|^{2}$$

- $r \ge r_0$, diverge when $k \rightarrow 0$
- application ? interpretation?
- simple quantum mechanics formula still available?

Threshold effect of other baryons final states

arXiv:0711.1725v3 [hep-ph] 1 Apr 2008

Unexpected features of $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\overline{p}$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\Lambda$ cross sections near threshold

Rinaldo Baldini a,b , Simone Pacetti a,b , Adriano Zallo b , and Antonino Zichichi a,d,e

• Threshold effects seem be universal



• Threshold effects for neutron channels

- Coulomb correction leak?
- The unexpected features of baryon pair production?
- Near threshold can be interpreted as: $B\overline{B}$ bound states?
- Unobserved meson resonances, an attractive Coulomb interaction?
- Strong interaction on the level of constituent quark level?

-

X(1835), X(pp), X(nn)?

Final state of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

arXiv:hep-ph/9312358v1 30 Dec 1993

UMN-TH-1232/93 **TPI-MINN-93/61-T** December 1993

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ at the threshold and beyond Brian H. Smith M.B. Voloshin
- Born cross section

$$\sigma_0(e^+ e^- \to \tau^+ \tau^-) = \frac{2\pi \alpha^2}{3s} v (3 - v^2) \qquad v = \sqrt{1 - 4m_\tau^2/s}$$

Interpolating cross section of final state interaction

$$\bar{\sigma}(e^+e^- \to \tau^+\tau^-) = \sigma_0 \left(1+h\right) F_c \left(1+\frac{\alpha}{\pi}S(v) - \frac{\pi\,\alpha}{2v}\right)$$

- Coulomb correction $F_c = \frac{\pi \alpha / v}{1 \exp(-\pi \alpha / v)}$
- Wave function correction $\psi_c(r) = C e^{-ipr} {}_1F_1(1+i\lambda, 2, 2ipr)$
 - $|\psi_c(0) + \delta\psi(0)|^2 = |\psi_c(0)|^2 (1+h) \qquad h = \frac{2\alpha}{3\pi} \left[-2\lambda \operatorname{Im} \int_0^\infty dt \int_1^\infty dx \left(\frac{1+t}{t}\right)^{i\lambda} \frac{(t+z\,x\,v^{-1})^{i\lambda-1}}{(t+1+z\,x\,v^{-1})^{i\lambda+1}} \left(1+\frac{1}{2x^2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{x^2-1}}{x^2} \right]$
- higher correction J. Schwinger, Particle, Sorces, and Field, Volume II $S(v) = \frac{1}{v} \left\{ (1+v^2) \left[\frac{\pi^2}{6} + \ln\left(\frac{1+v}{2}\right) \ln\left(\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right) + 2\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right) + 2\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1+v}{2}\right) - \right\} \right\}$ $2\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-v}{2}\right) - 4\operatorname{Li}_{2}(v) + \operatorname{Li}_{2}(v^{2}) + \left[\frac{11}{8}(1+v^{2}) - 3v + \frac{1}{2}\frac{v^{4}}{(3-v^{2})}\right] \ln\left(\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right) +$ $6v \ln\left(\frac{1+v}{2}\right) - 4v \ln v + \frac{3}{4}v \frac{(5-3v^2)}{(3-v^2)}$
- Dose $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ baryons has similar correction?
 - With QCD modified form - Need more study

Summary

- Coulomb interaction in quantum mechanics seems can interpret the threshold effect of charged point-like baryon-pair production cross section.
- Coulomb interaction formula become invalid for interpreting the threshold effect of nonpoint-like particles production cross section.
- Baryons are complex particle with inner components.
- The threshold of neutron pair cross section need new mechanism.
- What is true hadronic threshold effects mechanisms are far from clear.
- More studies for threshold effect correction are needed.