Two-photon Physics

Simon Eidelman

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

- Outline 1. Belle results for $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-X$
- 2. Transition form factors
- 3. Conclusions

Basic Features of Two-Photon Collisions – I

 $W - \gamma \gamma (X)$ c.m. energy, q_1^2 , $q_2^2 - 4$ -momenta squared of virtual photons $\theta^* - X$ polar c.m. angle with respect to e^+e^-

Basic Features of Two-Photon Collisions – II

- $\sigma(e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\gamma^*\gamma^* \to e^+e^-f) \propto \alpha^4 \log^2 E/m_e$ compared to $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \gamma^* \to f) \propto \alpha^2/E^2$
- Particles produced in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions have C = +1 and $J^P = 0^{\mp}, 2^{\mp}$ while those in single-photon annihilation have C = -1 and $J^P = 1^-$
- Special kinematics:
 - Initial electrons tend to fly in their original directions and lose a small part of their energy
 - The produced system of particles f has $E_{tot} \ll \sqrt{s} = 2E$ and tends to have small transverse momentum





Classification of $\gamma\gamma$ Experiments

There are three different types of $\gamma\gamma$ experiments depending on whether or not initial electrons are detected:

- Both e^{\pm} not detected no tag (small $q_{1,2}^2$, quasireal photons)
- One e^{\pm} is detected single tag
- Both e^{\pm} detected double tag

In some cases experiments have a dedicated tagging system (tagger) to detect outgoing e^{\pm} 's (TPC-2 γ , MD-1 in the past, KEDR in Novosibirsk, KLOE-2 in Frascati - today) Detectors with a large solid angle (CLEO, BaBar, Belle) can perform single-tag experiments, when one final e^{\pm} is detected



- In the 80-s SPEAR, PEP at SLAC, PETRA at DESY
- In the 90-s LEP at CERN, TRISTAN at KEK
- ARGUS at DESY and CLEO: high luminosity for $\gamma\gamma$ (0.5-1 fb⁻¹)
- Resonance $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ (\mathcal{B}_f) for light mesons and charmonia
- First single-tag and $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{ hadrons})$ measurements
- Scaling laws for processes with large Q^2 using quark counting rules; predictions for $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to M\bar{M})$ in pQCD
- For $\pi^+\pi^-$ the prediction is $\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta^*} \sim W^{-6}$, for $p\bar{p} \sim W^{-10}$
- Predictions of pQCD are asymptotic, but what energy is high enough?

QCD Studies at Belle						
Final state	$\int L dt$, fb ⁻¹	W, GeV	$ \cos heta^* $	Reference		
$\pi^+\pi^-,$	87.7	2.4 - 4.1	< 0.6	H. Nakazawa et al.,		
K^+K^-				PLB 615, 39 (2005)		
$par{p}$	89	2.025-4	< 0.6	C.C.Kuo et al.,		
				PLB 621, 41 (2005)		
$\pi^0\pi^0$	223	0.6-4.1	< 0.8	S.Uehara et al.,		
				PRD 79, 052009 (2009)		
$\eta\pi^0$	223	0.84-4.0	< 0.8	S.Uehara et al.,		
				PRD 80, 032001 (2009)		
$\eta\eta$	393	1.096-3.8	< 0.9	S.Uehara et al.,		
			< 1.0	PRD 82, 114031 (2010)		
$K^0_S K^0_S$	972	1.05 - 4.0	< 0.8	S.Uehara et al.,		
				PTEP 2013 (2013) 123C01		



 $n = 7.9 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.5$ for $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $n = 7.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 1.5$ for K^+K^- , n = 6 possible Absolute cross sections not predicted!

Conclusions of QCD Tests

State	n	$W, { m GeV}$
$\pi^+\pi^-$	$7.9\pm0.4\pm1.5$	3.0-4.1
K^+K^-	$7.3\pm0.3\pm1.5$	3.0 - 4.1
$p\bar{p}$	$12.4^{+2.4}_{-2.3}$	2.0-4.0
$K^0_S K^0_S$	$11.0\pm0.4\pm0.4$	2.6 - 4.0
$\pi^0\pi^0$	$8.0\pm0.5\pm0.4$	3.1-4.1
$\eta \pi^0$	$10.5\pm1.2\pm0.5$	3.1 - 4.1
$\eta\eta$	$7.8\pm0.6\pm0.4$	2.4 - 3.3

n = 10 does not work for $\pi^0 \pi^0$, one more puzzle, nor for $\eta \eta$, but here the W range is limited

Charmonia in $\gamma\gamma \to K^0_S K^0_S$



Events of $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow$ charmonia are selected without background

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.10/27

Observation of New Charmonium-like States at Belle

Final state	$\int L dt$, fb ⁻¹	$W, { m GeV}$	Reference
$D^+D^-, \ D^0\bar{D}^0$	395	3.7-4.3	S. Uehara et al.,
			PRL 96, 082003 (2006)
$J/\psi\omega$	694	3.9 - 4.2	S. Uehara et al.,
			PRL 104, 092001 (2010)
$J/\psi\phi$	825	4.2 - 5.0	C.P. Shen et al.,
			PRL 104, 112004 (2010)



Also observed by Belle and BaBar in $B \rightarrow J/\psi \omega K$ decays, the same as $\chi_{c2}(2P)$? S. Uehara et al. (Belle Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 092001 (2010) J.P. Lees et al. (BaBar Collab.), Phys. Rev. D 86, 072002 (2012)

p.12/27

Conclusions on Charmonium Studies

- Various decay modes of $\eta_c(1S)$, $\chi_{c0}(1P)$, $\chi_{c2}(1P)$ into two-, four- and six-body final states studied
- Two-photon width $\Gamma_{2\gamma}\mathcal{B}((c\bar{c}) \to f), M, \Gamma$ measured, interference effects very important
- More precise branching fractions determined
- For $\eta_c(2S)$ new decay modes, in addition to $K\bar{K}\pi$, found
- New charmonium and charmonium-like states seen

Studies of Light-Quark Mesons at Belle

Final state	$\int Ldt$, fb ⁻¹	W, GeV	Reference
K^+K^-	67	1.4-2.4	K. Abe et al.,
			EPJC 32, 323 (2003)
$f_0(980) \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	87.7	2.4- 4.1	T. Mori et al.,
			PRD 75, 051101 (2007)
$\omega\omega,\ \omega\phi,\ \phi\phi$	870	1.6-4.0	ZQ. Liu et al.,
			PRL 108, 232001 (2012)
$\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	673	1.4 - 3.4	C.C. Zhang et al.,
			PRD 86, 052002 (2012)
$\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	941	1.0-3.8	Q.N. Xu et al.,
			Preliminary

In addition, systematic partial wave analysis was performed for the $\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^0$, $\eta\pi^0$, $\eta\eta$ final states



 $f'_2(1525)$ and 3 more states at 1.7, 2.0 and 2.3 GeV (tensors?) K. Abe et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 32, 323 (2003)



Structures at 1.91 ($\omega\omega$), 2.2 ($\omega\phi$), 2.35 GeV ($\phi\phi$), 0⁺⁺ or 2⁺⁺ Z.-Q. Liu et al.(Belle Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 232001 (2012) Theory: $\sim 1/W^6$, experiment – steeper Theory: $\sigma(\omega\omega) \ll \sigma(\phi\phi) \ll \sigma(\omega\phi)$, correctly predicts $\sigma(\phi\phi)$, $\sigma(\omega\phi)$ at 4 GeV, but in experiment $\sigma(\omega\omega)$ is too high, V. Chernyak, arXiv:1212.1304

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.16/27

$$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$$
 at Belle



Belle studied $\gamma \gamma \to \eta' \pi^+ \pi^$ and observed $\eta_c(1S)$, $\eta_c(2S) + f_0(980)$, $f_2(1270)$, $f_0(2080)$ $M = 2083^{+63}_{-66} \pm 32 \text{ MeV}, \ \Gamma = 178^{+60}_{-178} \pm 55 \text{ MeV}$ Preliminary, to be submitted to Phys. Rev. D

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.17/27

Transition Form Factors - I (General)



 $P \to \gamma \gamma, \ \gamma e^+ e^-, \ e^+ e^- e^+ e^-, \ e^+ e^-, \ e^+ e^- \to P \gamma, \ P e^+ e^-, \ \gamma e^- \to P e^-, \ \gamma \gamma^* \to P$ All of them probe $\mathcal{F}(q_1^2, q_2^2)$ in different q_i^2 regions

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.18/27



Belle data do not confirm fast rise observed at BaBarB. Aubert et al. (BaBar Collab.), Phys. Rev. D 80, 052002 (2009),S. Uehara et al. (Belle Collab.), Phys. Rev. D 86, 092007 (2012)



The *u*, *d* part of the meson distribution amplitude, η and η' transition f/f follow QCD Belle is completing analysis of $\gamma \gamma^* \to \pi^0 \pi^0$, $Q^2 < 30 \text{ GeV}^2$, $f_0(980)$ and $f_2(1270)$ clearly seen





Belle studied $\gamma \gamma^* \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ at $Q^2 < 30 \text{ GeV}^2$ and 0.5 GeV < W < 2.1 GeV in M. Masuda et al., Phys. Rev. D93 (2016)032003 Theory I — G.A.Schuler et al., Nucl. Phys. B523 (1998) 423 Theory II — V. Pascalutsa et al., Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 116001

Transition Form Factors - V $(\gamma \gamma^* \to K_S^0 K_S^0 \text{ at Belle})$



Belle studied $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$ at $Q^2 < 30 \text{ GeV}^2$ and 1.0 < W < 2.6 GeVin M. Masuda et al., Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 052003 Theory — G.A.Schuler et al., Nucl. Phys. B523 (1998) 423

Status of $P \to l^+ l^-$ Decay Searches

Decay mode	$\mathcal{B}_{ ext{exp}}$	Events	Group	$\mathcal{B}_{ ext{unit.bound}}$
$\pi^0 \to e^+ e^-$	$(6.46 \pm 0.33) \cdot 10^{-8}$	794	794 KTEV, 2008	
$\eta \to e^+ e^-$	$< 2.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$		HADES, 2014	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-9}$
$\eta o \mu^+ \mu^-$	$(5.7 \pm 0.9) \cdot 10^{-6}$	114	SATURNEII, 1994	$4.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$\eta' \to e^+ e^-$	$< 1.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$	_	CMD-3, 2014	$3.75 \cdot 10^{-11}$
$K_L^0 \to e^+ e^-$	$(9^{+6}_{-4}) \cdot 10^{-12}$	4	B871, 1998	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-12}$
$K_L^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$(6.84 \pm 0.11) \cdot 10^{-9}$	6210	B871, 2000	$6.8\cdot10^{-9}$

 \mathcal{B} 's can be enhanced by photon virtuality and transition f/f All results but CMD-3 were obtained using hadron beams CMD-3 searched for the inverse reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+\pi^-$ Search for C-even resonances in e^+e^-

Direct production of C-even states in e^+e^- is possible via a $\gamma\gamma$:



The unitarity bound (UB) assuming 2 real photons is $\mathcal{B}_{P \to l^+ l^-} = \mathcal{B}_{P \to \gamma \gamma} \frac{\alpha^2}{2\beta} (\frac{m_e}{m_P})^2 [\ln(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta})]^2, \beta = \sqrt{1 - 4(\frac{m_e}{m_P})^2}.$ "Standard" mechanism via $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-P$ involves two almost real photons and provides $\Gamma(P \to \gamma \gamma)$ only

Search for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'$ with CMD-3 – I

CMD-3 repeated a search for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+\pi^-$, $\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$ using $\int Ldt = 2.69 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ collected with the CMD-3 detector at the VEPP-2000 c.m. energy $E_{\text{c.m.}} \approx m_{\eta'} = 957.78 \pm 0.06 \text{ MeV}/c^2$

The total width of the η' is rather small, (198 ± 9) keV, it is very important to have c.m. energy close to this value. The collider beam energy was continuously monitored during the whole period of data taking (12 days) using the Back-Scattering-Laser-Light system providing the accuracy of $6 \cdot 10^{-5}$

R.R. Akhmetshin et al., Phys. Lett. B 740, 273 (2015)

Search for
$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'$$
 with CMD-3 – II

From the absence of the signal

 $\Gamma_{\eta' \to e^+ e^-} \mathcal{B}_{\eta' \to \pi \pi \eta} \mathcal{B}_{\eta \to \gamma \gamma} < 0.00041 \text{ eV at } 90\% \text{ C.L.}$

and with $\mathcal{B}_{\eta'\to\pi\pi\eta}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\eta\to\gamma\gamma}$ from PDG:

 $\Gamma_{\eta' \to e^+ e^-} < 0.0024 \text{ eV}$

Group	ND, 1988	CMD-3, 2014
$\Gamma_{\eta' \to e^+ e^-}, \mathrm{eV}$	< 0.06	< 0.0024
$\Gamma_{\eta'}, \mathrm{keV}$	~ 300	198 ± 9
$\mathcal{B}_{\eta' \to e^+ e^-}, 10^{-8}$	< 21	< 1.2

Much more stringent than that of ND, but still 300 times higher than the unitarity bound

Conclusions

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ + hadrons is easily studied at e^+e^- colliders
- $\gamma\gamma$ physics is quite rich: two-photon widths, spectroscopy of light-quark mesons and charmonia, QCD tests, transition f/f in $\gamma\gamma^* \to R$, $J^{PC}(R) = 0^{-+}$, 0^{++} , 1^{-+} , 2^{++}
- Resonance studies are very sensitive to interference with non-resonant continuum
- Studies of TFF are in progress at MAMI, JLAB, VEPP-2000, BEPC-II, Julich, ..., can be also studied via $R \to e^+e^-$, e.g. in $e^+e^- \to c\overline{c} \to f$
- Taggers provide much broader possibilities for $\gamma\gamma^*$ and $\gamma^*\gamma^*$
- γγ physics is very promising for various QCD studies: resonance studies test various models (potential, tetraquark, molecule), energy and angular dependence of cross sections – pQCD
- Further theoretical and experimental efforts needed

March 19-21, 2018

Backup slides



Muon $\frac{(g-2)}{2} - I$ (Comparison to Experiment)

Contribution	$a_{\mu}, 10^{-10}$
Experiment	$11659208.9 \pm 5.4 \pm 3.3(6.3)_{\rm tot}$
QED	11658471.9 ± 0.008
Electroweak	$15.4\pm0.1\pm0.2$
Hadronic	$692.3 \pm 4.2 \pm 2.6 \pm 0.2(4.9)_{\rm tot}$
Theory	11659180.2 ± 4.9
Exp.–Theory	$28.7 \pm 8.0 \ (3.6\sigma)$

The difference between experiment and theory is $(3.2-3.6)\sigma!$ Experiment: G.W. Bennett et al., Phys. Rev. D 73, 072003 (2006)



Measurements of the beam energy show good stability of the collider energy. The average value of the c.m. energy is $E_{c.m.}^{av.} = 957.678 \pm 0.014$ MeV with a few deviations of up to 0.2 MeV, corresponding to less than 5% of the integrated luminosity, which are still within an energy spread of the collider The collider beams have an energy spread mainly due to the quantum effects. For VEPP-2000 the c.m. energy spread $\sigma_{E_{c.m.}} = (0.246 \pm 0.030)$ MeV

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.31/27

Search for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'$ with CMD-3 – III



S.Eidelman, BINP

p.32/27

HIEPA2018, Beijing

March 19-21, 2018

Particle Production at B Factories

Production from B-decay (broad D^{**} , D_{sJ} , X(3872), Y(3940))

Production from continuum $(D_{sJ}, \eta_c(2S), X(3940), \Sigma(2800))$

Two-photon production $(\eta_c(2S), \chi_{c2}(2P))$

Initial state radiation (Y(4260), Y(4360), Y(4660))





(a) $\phi\eta$, (b) $\phi\eta'$, (c) $\rho\eta$, (d) $\rho\eta'$ Solid $-1/s^4$, dashed $-1/s^3$

p.34/27

γ^* -	$\rightarrow I$	P	- I	Ι
L				

Mode	e Belle [3]	[4]	[5]	BaBar $[2]$
$\phi\eta$	$1.4\pm0.4\pm0.1$	3.3-4.3	2.4-3.4	$2.9\pm0.5\pm0.1$
$\phi\eta^\prime$	$5.3\pm1.1\pm0.4$	4.4 - 5.8	3.5-5.0	_
$\phi\eta$	$3.1\pm0.5\pm0.1$	2.4 - 3.1	2.4-3.5	—
$\phi\eta'$	$3.3\pm0.6\pm0.2$	1.5 - 2.1	1.6-2.3	_
G.S. Adams	s et al. (CLEO)	Phys.	Rev. D	73,012002(2006)
B. Aubert e	et al. (BaBar)	Phys.	Rev. D	74, 111103 (2006)
K. Belous e	et al. (Belle)	Phys.	Lett. B	681,400(2009)
C.D.Lu et a	al. (Light cone)	Phys.	Rev. D	75, 094020 (2007)
V.V. Bragu	ta et al. (Light cone) Phys.	Rev. D	78, 074032 (2008)

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.35/27

$$\gamma \gamma \to \pi^+ \pi^-, \ K^+ K^- - I$$

- For all final states Belle surpassed the previous measurements both in statistics and the quality of detection
- For $\gamma \gamma \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, $K^+ K^-$ Belle used a data sample of 87.7 fb⁻¹ to study angular dependence, energy behavior and the ratio $\frac{\sigma(\pi^+ \pi^-)}{\sigma(K^+ K^-)}$ H. Nakazawa et al., Phys. Lett. B 615, 39 (2005)
- The best previous experiment (ALEPH) had a data sample of 837.5 pb⁻¹
 A. Heister et al., Phys. Lett. B 569, 140 (2003)



March 19-21, 2018







Power corrections are still significant Diquark and handbag models need improvement

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.39/27



S. Uehara et al. (Belle Collab.), Eur. Phys. J. 53, 1 (2007)

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.40/27





In each of the three final states $-2(\pi^+\pi^-)$, $\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$, $2(K^+K^-)$ three charmonia $-\eta_c$, χ_{c0} and χ_{c2} are clearly seen They also study dynamics and see: $\eta_c \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0}$, $f_2f'_2$, $\phi\phi$, f_2f_2 But $\eta_c(2S)$ not seen, the only mode observed is $K\bar{K}\pi$

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.42/27



Preliminary Belle results with 923 fb⁻¹: $3(\pi^+\pi^-) - 6\pi, K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-) - 2K4\pi,$ $2(K^+K^-)\pi^+\pi^- - 4K2\pi, K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^- - K_S^0K3\pi$ $\eta_c(1S), \chi_{c0}$ and χ_{c2} are also clearly seen

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.43/27

Six-prong Fi	inal States	and $\eta_c(2S)$) - II
--------------	-------------	------------------	--------

Mode	$M, \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$	$\Gamma, {\rm MeV}$	$N_{ m ev}$	S, σ	$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}\mathcal{B}, \mathrm{eV}$
6π	$3638.9 \pm 1.6 \pm 2.3$	10.7 ± 4.9	1485 ± 274	8.5	$20.1 \pm 3.7 \pm 3.2$
$2K4\pi$	$3634.7 \pm 1.6 \pm 2.8$	$1.4^{+6.3}_{-1.4} (< 13)$	407 ± 91	6.2	$10.2 \pm 2.3 \pm 3.4$
$K_S K3\pi$	$3636.5 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.4$	15.9 ± 5.7	563 ± 71	8.7	$30.7 \pm 3.9 \pm 3.7$
$K^+K^-3\pi$	$3640.5 \pm 3.2 \pm 2.5$	13.4 (fixed)	1201 ± 228	5.3	$30.0 \pm 6.0 \pm 5.0$

Averaging Belle results over 3 modes of $\eta_c(2S)$: Mass = $3636.9 \pm 1.1 \pm 2.5 \pm 5.0$ MeV, Width = $9.9 \pm 3.2 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.0$ MeV consistent with Belle results on $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}(K_S K \pi)^0$: Mass = $3636.1^{+3.0++0.5}_{-3.4-2.0}$ MeV, Width = $6.6^{+4.9+3.0}_{-3.4-0.9}$ MeV and with BaBar results on $K^+K^-3\pi$

BaBar: P. del Amo Sanchez et al., Phys. Rev. D84, 012004 (2011)



The Y(4140) and Y(4274) states of CDF not seen (also disproved by LHCb) C.P. Shen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 112004 (2010)

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.45/27

Observation of New Charmonium-like States at Belle

Final state	$\int L dt$, fb ⁻¹	$W, { m GeV}$	Reference
$D^+D^-, \ D^0\bar{D}^0$	395	3.7-4.3	S. Uehara et al.,
			PRL 96, 082003 (2006)
$J/\psi\omega$	694	3.9 - 4.2	S. Uehara et al.,
			PRL 104, 092001 (2010)
$J/\psi\phi$	825	4.2 - 5.0	C.P. Shen et al.,
			PRL 104, 112004 (2010)

HIEPA2018, Beijing

March 19-21, 2018



Compatible with $\chi_{c2}(2P)$, confirmed by BaBar

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.47/27



Also observed by Belle and BaBar in $B \to J/\psi \omega K$ decays, the same as $\chi_{c2}(2P)$? S. Uehara et al. (Belle Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett..104, 092001 (2010)

p.48/27



The Y(4140) and Y(4274) states of CDF not seen (also disproved by LHCb) C.P. Shen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 112004 (2010)

S.Eidelman, BINP

p.49/27

March 19-21, 2018

