Testing CPT with $J/\psi \rightarrow K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}K^{0}$ decays at STCF



Stephen Lars Olsen Institute for Basic Science Daejeon Korea

Why CPT?

any Lorentz-invariant local quantum field theory with a hermitian Hamiltonian *must* have CPT symmetry.

what theory is a Lorentz-invariant local quantum field theory with a hermitian Hamiltonian?

the Standard Model

CPT must be violated!

In QFT, 2nd and higher perturbation diagrams have loops:

picture (& argument) from an Ed Witten public talk:



when any two of the point-like vertices coincide, there is an infinite value & these make the space-time integral diverge.

How does QFT deal with these infinities?

renormalization





Dirac:

Renormalization is just a stop-gap procedure. There must be some

fundamental change in our ideas, probably a change just as fundamental as the passage from Bohr's orbit theory to quantum mechanics. When you get a number turning out to be infinite which ought to be finite, you should admit that there is something wrong with your equations, and not hope that you can get a good theory just by doctoring up that number.

in a 1970 interview with Dirac conducted by David Peat and Paul Buckley for the CBC show, "Physics and Beyond").



The shell game that we play ... is technically called 'renormalization'. But no matter how clever the word, it is still **what I would call a dippy process!** Having to resort to such hocus-pocus has prevented us from proving that the theory of quantum electrodynamics is mathematically self-consistent. It's surprising that the theory still hasn't been proved self-consistent one way or the other by now; I suspect that renormalization is not mathematically legitimate

Richard P. Feynman (2014). "QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter", p.128, Princeton Univ. Press

Feynman:

Gravity is not renormalizable

Witten's preferred solution: Strings (=non-locality)



CPT has to be violated somewhere

but where? at least by the Planck scale? we have to keep looking

note: CP has to be violated to explain the baryon symmetry of the Universe. Leptogenesis models say this happened at T~10¹⁴ GeV

 \Box but traces of CPV show up in K- & B-meson decay, etc.

main consequence of CPT violation

PDG limits

$$m_{\rho} - m_{\overline{\rho}} < 0.7 eV$$
 $m_{\kappa^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0} < 5x10^{-11} eV$

why is the $K^0 - \overline{K^0}$ limit 10 orders of magnitude better?

Why Kaons?

Nature's great gift: $K^{0} \qquad W \qquad V \qquad K^{0} \qquad K^{0} \qquad W \qquad K^{0} \qquad K^$

This beautiful diagram allows 2nd-order Weak Interaction effects to how up in 1st-order W.I. processes in experimentally accessible quantities

K-mesons: the gift that keeps on giving

- 1954: flavor quantum numbers (conserved by Strong & EM, violated by W.I.
- **1955:** particle-antiparticle oscillations (CP vs flavor eigenstates)
- 1956: Parity is not conserved (Lee Yang Nobel prize)
- **1963: flavor mixing (Cabibbo angle)**
- 1964: CP is not conserved (Fitch-Cronin Nobel prize)
- 1970: GIM mechanism (predict the existence of the charmed quark)
- 1973: KM 6-quark model for CPV (Kobayashi-Maskawa Nobel prize)
- 202? kaons will teach us that CPT is not conserved (???? Nobel prize)

$J/\psi \rightarrow K^{\mp} \pi^{\pm} \bar{k}^{0}$ in BESIII



Lifetimes?



Time-dependence of $K^{0}(\overline{K}^{0}) \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$



Asymmetry





weight events according to "usefulness"



If CPT is valid: $\phi_{+} = \tan^{-1}(2\Delta M / \Delta \Gamma)$

 $\Delta M = m_{K_L} - m_{K_S} = (3.484 \pm 0.006) \times 10^{-12} \text{ MeV}$ $\pm 0.02\%$ $\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_S - \Gamma_L = (7.336 \pm 0.026) \times 10^{-12} \text{ MeV}$ $\pm 0.04\%$ $\phi_{SW} = 43.53^{\circ} \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ the "super-weak" phase

two ways that a K_{L} can decay to $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$



 $\delta_2 - \delta_0 = -47.7^\circ \pm 1.5^\circ$

G. Colangelo, J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *ππ* scattering, *Nucl. Phys. B* **603**, pp. 125–179 (2001), doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00147-X, arXiv:hep-ph/0103088.

Miracle

ε' and ε are parallel(to within ~< 1.5°)

phase of $\eta_{\text{+-}}$ insensitive to to uncertainty in the length of ϵ'



Add a CPT violation

here I assume it is completely from the box diagram $-\sqrt{G}\sin\theta$ K^0 $ar{K}^0$ K^0 u, c, $\pi\pi$ $\sqrt{G}\cos\theta$ $-\sqrt{G}\sin\theta$ $\delta \approx \frac{i(M_{\bar{K}^0} - M_{K^0}) + (\Gamma_{\bar{K}^0} - \Gamma_{K^0})/2}{2\sqrt{2}\Delta M} e^{i\phi_{\rm SW}}$ $M_{\overline{k}0} - M_{\kappa 0} \approx 2\sqrt{2} \Delta M \epsilon \Delta \phi$ \approx 3 x (3.4 x 10⁻¹² MeV) × (2 × 10⁻³) × $\Delta \phi$ $M_{\overline{k}0}-M_{\kappa 0} \approx 2 \times 10^{-14} \text{MeV} \times \Delta \phi$

Im 3 2 η_{+-} ϕ = 43.52° + $\Delta \phi$ Re

Best measurements to date

KTeV (Fermilab)

CPlear



BEAM

modulo a model-dependent regeneration phase

The CPLEAR anti-proton experiment at CERN

\overline{p} beam stops in a H₂ target & $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{K^0}K^+\pi^- \text{ or } K^0K^-\pi^+$

CPLEAR Detector





Physics Letters B 458 (1999) 545-552

A determination of the CP violation parameter η_{+-} from the decay of strangeness-tagged neutral kaons

CPLEAR Collaboration

A. Apostolakis ^a, E. Aslanides ^k, G. Backenstoss ^b, P. Bargassa ^m, O. Behnke ^q,
 A. Benelli ⁱ, V. Bertin ^k, F. Blanc ^{g,m}, P. Bloch ^d, P. Carlson ^o, M. Carroll ⁱ,



no regenerator: model-independent

15 July 1999

PHYSICS LETTERS B

Flavor-tagged production & Flavor-tagged decay



BESIII (first peek) vs CPlear (10 years of data)

Flavor-tagged K⁰ and \overline{K}^0 decays to $\pi^+\pi^-$



CPLEAR measurements had about 7x as much data as BESIII has

SCTF with $10^{12} J/\psi$ events

-- from Jian-Yu Zhang --



~30x as much data as CPLEAR had \Rightarrow 10x reduction in errors

Par.	$ \eta_{+-} (10^{-3})$	$\phi_{+-}(\text{degree})$
PDG	2.232 ± 0.011	43.4 ± 0.5
STCF	$2.2320 \pm 0.0025 \pm 0.0027$	$43.510 \pm 0.051 \pm 0.059$

CPT test with neutral kaon decays to two pions -- in 3 easy steps --



3. *if they are not equal*

Comments

CPT will be violated at some level, the only question is where?

Flavor-tagged K⁰ & K⁰ mesons are by far the best probes of CPT

STCF is the **only planned facility that can improve** on current limits

This is a *unique opportunity* for STCF, that should not be compromised

Afterword



"A special search at Dubna was carried out [in 1962] by E. Okonov and his group. They did not find a single $K_L \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ event among 600 decays into charged particles [256]. At that stage the search was terminated by the administration of the Lab. The group was unlucky."

Bf(
$$K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$$
) = 1/500 !!